中国医学影像技术

CHINESE JOURNAL OF MEDICAL IMAGING TECHNOLOGY

设为首页 | 加入收藏 | 联系我们

2014-05-21 早期三

首页 | 本刊简介 | 编委会 | 收录情况 | 投稿须知 | 期刊订阅 | 稿件查询 | 广告招商 | 会议

吕素琴,王平,郑荣琴,任杰,许尔蛟,廖梅.对比CDFI和CEUS诊断肝移植术后肝动脉血栓的价值[J].中国医学影像技术,2012,28(8):1559~1562

对比CDFI和CEUS诊断肝移植术后肝动脉血栓的价值

Comparison on CDFI and CEUS in diagnosis of early and late hepatic artery thrombosis after liver transplantation

投稿时间: 2012-02-27 最后修改时间: 2012-04-05

DOI.

中文关键词: 肝移植 肝动脉 血栓形成 超声检查 造影剂

英文关键词:Liver transplantation Hepatic artery Thrombosis Ultrasonography Contrast medium

基金项目:卫生部公益性行业专项基金项目(2007-02-01);国家自然科学基金项目(81000191)。

<u>日素琴</u> 中山大学附属第三医院超声科,广东 广州 510630

王平 中山大学附属第三医院超声科,广东 广州 510630

郑荣琴 中山大学附属第三医院超声科,广东 广州 510630 zhengrongqin@hotmail.com

任杰 中山大学附属第三医院超声科,广东 广州 510630 许尔蛟 中山大学附属第三医院超声科,广东 广州 510630

廖梅 中山大学附属第三医院超声科,广东 广州 510630

摘要点击次数:362

全文下载次数:164

中文摘要:

目的 对比CDFI和CEUS对肝移植术后早期及晚期肝动脉血栓(HAT)的诊断价值。方法 收集CDFI或临床疑诊肝移植术后HAT患者64例,分为早期HAT(<30天)和晚期HAT(≥30天)。有患者均行CEUS检查,观察肝动脉有无血流显示及其走行;以CDFI测量血流峰值、收缩期加速度时间、阻力指数、有无湍流及其峰值。以DSA和(或)CTA+临床+超声随访为参考标准,计FI和CEUS诊断早期、晚期HAT的敏感度、特异度和准确率。结果 对早期HAT 18例,CDFI和CEUS诊断HAT的敏感度、特异度、准确率分别为100%(6/6)、83.33%(10/12)、88.89%(16/100%(8/8)、100%(10/10)、100%(18/18);对晚期HAT 46例,CDFI和CEUS诊断HAT的敏感度、特异度、准确率分别为0、100%(36/36)、78.26%(36/46)和100%(9/9)、97.30%(36/37)、97.83%(6)。结论 CEUS能直观显示肝动脉走行,诊断肝移植术后HAT的准确率明显优于CDFI,尤其对早期HAT更具确诊价值。

英文摘要:

Objective To compare the value of CDFI and CEUS in diagnosis of early and late hepatic artery thrombosis (HAT) after liver transplantation. **Methods** Totally 64 patients with suspected HAT CDFI and clinical presentation underwent CEUS. Patients were divided into early HAT patients (<30 days) and later HAT patients (>30 days). Presence or absence of the proper left and right hepa arteries were observed, and the peak velocity, resistive index, acceleration time, as well as the presence or absence of turbulence and the peak velocity of turbulence were measured. Taking DSA or CT clinical follow-up + sonograms as standards, the sensitivity, specificity, accuracy of CDFI and CEUS in diagnosis of early and later HAT were calculated. **Results** There were 18 early patients and patients. The sensitivity, specificity, accuracy of CDFI and CEUS for early HAT patients was 100% (6/6), 83.33% (10/12), 88.89% (16/18) and 100% (8/8), 100% (10/10), 100% (18/18), respective while for late HAT patients was 0, 100% (36/36), 78.26% (36/46) and 100% (9/9), 97.30% (36/37), 97.83% (45/46), respectively. **Conclusion** Compared with CDFI, CEUS can directly display hepartery running, being better than CDFI especially for diagnosis of early HAT after liver transplantation.

查看全文 查看/发表评论 下载PDF阅读器