

本期目录 | 下期目录 | 过刊浏览 | 高级检索

[打印本

页] [关闭]

论著

图像引导下放射治疗中心型非小细胞肺癌的配准范围、配准方式及靶区外放的研究

孙文泽, 宋丽萍, 马军, 张莹冰, 张龙, 高莹, 艾婷

西安交通大学医学院第一附属医院肿瘤放疗科, 西安 710061

摘要: 目的:研究图像引导下放射治疗(imaging-guided radiation therapy, IGRT)中心型非小细胞肺癌(non-smallcell lung cancer, NSCLC)中不同配准范围、配准方式对千伏锥形束CT(kilo-voltage cone beam CT,kVCBCT)图像与计划CT图像配准的影响,并探讨临床靶区(clinical target volume, CTV)的外放范围,为更好实施精确放射治疗提供依据。方法:选取26例中心型NSCLC患者每人在IGRT的摆位误差纠正前获得的kVCBCT图像4幅,共104幅图像进入分析。首先采用灰度配准加手动与治疗计划的CT图像进行配准,比较肿瘤、肿瘤+椎体两种配准范围的差异;其次以肿瘤+椎体为配准范围与治疗计划的CT图像进行配准,比较骨性配准加手动与灰度配准加手动两种配准方式的差异及所需时间。最后将所有患者200幅摆位误差纠正前kVCBCT图像以肿瘤+椎体为配准范围,采用骨性加手动配准方式与计划CT图像进行配准,记录其摆位误差并计算CTV的外放范围。结果:采用灰度配准加手动配准,肿瘤与肿瘤+椎体两种配准范围在X,Y,Z轴的平移及旋转误差差异均无统计学意义($P>0.05$)。以肿瘤+椎体作为配准范围,骨性配准加手动、灰度配准加手动两种配准方式在X,Y,Z轴平移及旋转摆位误差差异均无统计学意义($P>0.05$);而骨性配准加手动配准时间[(1.9 ± 0.3) min]小于灰度配准加手动[(3.1 ± 0.2) min]。以肿瘤+椎体为配准范围,采用骨性配准加手动配准,X,Y,Z轴方向CTV外放范围分别为5.3,4.9,5.7 mm。结论:IGRT中心型NSCLC可选择肿瘤+椎体作为配准范围,并建议采用骨性配准加手动方式进行图像配准;为减少摆位误差影响,提高治疗精确度,CTV外放计划靶区(planning target volume, PTV)时建议各方向外放6 mm。

关键词: 癌, 非小细胞肺 图像引导放射治疗 图像配准范围 图像配准方法

Scope and method of image registration and clinical target volume margin for central-type non-small cell lung cancer in image-guided radiotherapy

SUN Wenze, SONG Liping, MA Jun, ZHANG Yingbing, ZHANG Long, GAO Ying, AI Ting

Department of Radiation Oncology, the First Affiliated Hospital, Medical School of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710061, China

Abstract: Objective: To determine the influence of different registration scopes and methods on kilo-voltage cone beam CT (kVCBCT) image and CT image and to estimate the appropriate clinical target volume (CTV)-to-planned target volume (PTV) margin for central-type non-small cell lung cancer in image-guided radiation therapy (IGRT).

Methods: Twenty-six patients with central-type non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who received IGRT were enrolled in this study and totally 104 flames of kVCBCT scanning acquired before radiotherapy were analyzed. First, registrations were performed by gray plus manual match and were compared among different registration scopes of tumor and tumor plus vertebra. Then, the results of registration as well as time cost using tumor plus vertebra as registration scope were compared among different registration methods of gray plus manual match and bone plus manual match. At last, 200 registrations using tumor plus vertebra as the registration scope performed by bone plus manual match were recorded and the CTV-to-PTV margin was calculated.

Results: There was no significant difference in X, Y and Z translational and rotational movements between the registration scopes of tumor and tumor plus vertebra when gray plus manual match was used as the registration method ($P>0.05$). The registration results of gray plus manual match and bone plus manual match were equal when tumor plus vertebra was used as the registration scope ($P>0.05$), but the time cost of bone plus manual match [(1.9 ± 0.3) min] was shorter than that of gray plus manual match [(3.1 ± 0.2) min]. The CTV-to-PTV margins were 5.3, 4.9 and 5.7 mm in X, Y, and Z directions respectively.

Conclusion: For central-type NSCLC, tumor and vertebra can be used as registration scope and the bone plus manual match is suggested in IGRT. To avoid errors, we suggest a CTV-

扩展功能
本文信息
▶ Supporting info
▶ PDF(859KB)
▶ [HTML全文]
▶ 参考文献[PDF]
▶ 参考文献
服务与反馈
▶ 把本文推荐给朋友
▶ 加入我的书架
▶ 加入引用管理器
▶ 引用本文
▶ Email Alert
▶ 文章反馈
▶ 浏览反馈信息
本文关键词相关文章
▶ 癌, 非小细胞肺
▶ 图像引导放射治疗
▶ 图像配准范围
▶ 图像配准方法
本文作者相关文章
▶ 孙文泽
▶ 宋丽萍
▶ 马军
▶ 张莹冰
▶ 张龙
▶ 高莹
▶ 艾婷
PubMed
▶ Article by SUN Wenze
▶ Article by SONG Liping
▶ Article by MA Jun
▶ Article by ZHANG Yingbing
▶ Article by ZHANG Long
▶ Article by GAO Ying
▶ Article by AI Ting

to-PTV margin of 6 mm.

Keywords: carcinoma, non-small cell lung image-guided radiotherapy image registration scope image registration method

收稿日期 2012-03-18 修回日期 网络版发布日期

DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-7347.2013.02.004

基金项目:

陕西省自然科学基金(2011JM4037)。

通讯作者: 宋丽萍,Email: xaslp@126.com

作者简介: 孙文泽,博士研究生,医师,主要从事肿瘤放射治疗研究。

作者Email: xaslp@126.com

参考文献:

1. Sorensen S, Mischke M, Solberg T. Cone-beam CT using a mobileCarm: a registration solution for IGRT with all optical tracking system [J]. Phys Med Biol, 2007, 52(12): 3389-3404.
2. Verellen D, De Ridder M, Toumel K, et al. An overview of volumetric imaging technologies and their quality assurance for IGRT [J]. Acta Oncol, 2008, 47(7): 1271-1278.
3. van Herk M. Errors and margins in radiotherapy [J]. Semin Radiat Oncol, 2004, 14(1): 52-64.
4. Stroom JC, de Boer HC, Huizinga H, et al. Inclusion of geometrical uncertainties in radiotherapy treatment planning by means of coverage probability [J]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 1999, 43(4): 905-919.
5. Fraass BA, Smathers J, Deye J. Summary and recommendations of a National Cancer Institute Workshop on issues limiting the clinical use of monte carlo dose calculation algorithms for megavoltage external beam radiation therapy [J]. Med Phys, 2003, 30(12): 3206-3217.
6. Marks LB, Ma J. Challenges in the clinical application of advanced technologies to reduce radiation-associated normal tissue injury [J]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2007, 69(1): 4-12.
7. Guckenberger M. Image-guided radiotherapy based on kilovoltage cone-beam computed tomography-a review of technology and clinical outcome [J]. Eur Oncol Haematol, 2011, 7 (2): 121-124.
8. Boda-Heggemann J, Lohr F, Wenz F, et al. kV cone-beam CT-based IGRT [J]. Strahlenther Onkol, 2011, 187(5): 284-291.
9. Guckenberger M, Meyer J, Wilbert J, et al. Precision of image guided radiotherapy (IGRT) in six degrees of freedom and limitations in clinical practice [J]. Strahlenther Onkol, 2007, 183(6): 307-313.
10. 梁军, 张涛, 王文卿, 等. 图像引导放疗在58例肺癌患者中的应用观察 [J]. 中华放射肿瘤杂志, 2009, 18(4): 278-280. LIANG Jun, ZHANG Tao, WANG Wenqing, et al. Image-guided radiotherapy for fifty-eight patients with lung cancer [J]. Chinese Journal of Radiation Oncology, 2009, 18(4): 278-280.
11. 王艳阳, 傅小龙, 夏冰. 不同标记点对肺癌图像引导放疗图像配准结果的影响 [J]. 中华放射肿瘤杂志, 2010, 19(6): 517-519. WANG Yanyang, FU Xiaolong, XIA Bing. Impact of different anatomical landmarks on registration in imaging-guided radiation for lung cancer [J]. Chinese Journal of Radiation Oncology, 2010, 19(6): 517-519.
12. Higgins J, Bezzjak A, Franks K, et al. Comparison of spine, carina, and tumor as registration landmarks for volumetric image-guided lung radiotherapy [J]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2009, 73(5): 1404-1413.
13. Lavoie C, Higgins J, Bissonnette JP, et al. Volumetric image guidance using carina vs spine as registration landmarks for conventionally fractionated lung radiotherapy [J]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2012, 84(5): 1086-1092.
14. Yeung AR, Li JG, Shi W, et al. Tumor localization using cone-beam CT reduces setup margins in conventionally fractionated radiotherapy for lung tumors [J]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2009, 74(4): 1100-1107.
15. WANG Xin, ZHONG Renming, BAI Sen, et al. Lung tumor reproducibility with active breath control (ABC) in image-guided radiotherapy based on cone-beam computed

- tomography with two registration methods [J]. Radiother Oncol, 2011, 99(2): 148-154.
16. 梁军, 张涛, 张寅, 等. 肺癌锥形束CT图像不同配准方式的误差 分析 [J]. 中华放射肿瘤杂志, 2011, 20(2): 106-108. LIANG Jun, ZHANG Tao, ZHANG Yin, et al. Cone-beam CT imagine registration of lung cancer [J]. Chinese Journal of Radiation Oncology, 2011, 20(2): 106-108.
17. Guckenberger M, Meyer J, Vordermark D, et al. Magnitude and clinical relevance of translational and rotational patient setup errors: a cone-beam CT study [J]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2006, 65(3):934-942.