### 研究报告

## 不同诱捕技术对松褐天牛的诱捕效果

王四宝 $^{1,2}$ ,刘云鹏 $^3$ ,樊美珍 $^3$ ,苗雪霞 $^1$ ,赵学球 $^3$ ,李增智 $^3$ ,司胜利 $^1$ ,黄勇平 $^1$ <sup>1</sup>中国科学院上海生命科学院植物生理生态研究所,上海 200032; <sup>2</sup>中国科学院研究生院,北京 100039; <sup>3</sup>安徽农业大学安徽省微生物防治重点实验室,合肥 230036 收稿日期 2003-12-30 修回日期 2004-4-14 网络版发布日期 接受日期 摘要

通过不同引诱剂、不同诱芯、不同诱捕器和不同缓释量对松褐天牛的诱捕试验,结果表明,4种引诱剂对松褐天牛 都有一定的引诱效果.其中,引诱剂MA2K 05的效果最好,引诱活性平均为26.3头/诱捕器,对其它鞘翅目和半 翅目昆虫也有引诱作用; 引诱剂MA2K 13活性次之,平均21.3头/诱捕器:MA2K 11最弱,平均13.8头/诱捕 器.3种诱芯A、B和C的引诱效果分别为14.73、4.25和20.3头/诱捕器,其中诱芯C内引诱剂总量为诱芯A或诱 芯B的2.5倍,且诱芯C内的引诱剂3~5 d需添加一次,而诱芯A和诱芯B的引诱活性能持续1个月以上,因此,诱 芯B较为理想:宣州诱捕器引诱效果显著高于日式诱捕器,分别为36.4和9.7头/诱捕器.不同剂量诱捕结果表明, 随着诱芯缓释量的增加,诱捕效果也相应提高,当剂量从20 ml上升至80 ml时,诱捕效果增加不显著;当诱剂 达120 ml时, 引诱效果显著增加.

引诱剂;诱捕器;诱芯;松褐天牛 关键词 分类号

# Field attraction effects of different trapping methods on Monochamus alternatus

WANG Sibao<sup>1,2</sup>,LIU Yunpeng<sup>3</sup>,FAN Meizhen<sup>3</sup>,MIAO Xuexia<sup>1</sup>,ZHAO Xiegiu<sup>3</sup>,LI Zengzhi<sup>3</sup>,SI Shengli<sup>1</sup>,HUANG Yongping<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Plant Physiology Ecology, Shanghai Institutes of Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China; <sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China; <sup>3</sup>Anhui Provincial Kev Laboratory of Microbial Control, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei 230036, China

#### Abstract

A comparative study on the field attraction effects of different attractant, trap, lure and controlled-releasing amount on Monochamus alternatus showed that four test attractants had a certain trapping ability to Monochamus alternatus, among which, MA2K05 was the strongest, with a mean capture efficiency of 26.3 individuals each trap and being attractive to other species of Loleoptera and Hemiptera; MA2K13 took the second place, with 21.3 individuals each trap; while MA2K11 was the weakest, with 13.8 individuals each trap. Among the three lures tested, lures C (60 ml plastic cup with 2 of 5 cm round holes on the cover) and B (20 ml specified controlled releasing plastic bottle) had a comparatively stronger effect, with a capture efficiency of 34.25 and 20.3 individuals each trap, respectively; while lure A (20 ml specified controlled-releasing plastic bottle, the releasing amount being smaller than that of lure B) was the weakest, with 14.7 individuals each trap. Because the attractant volume of lure C was 1.5 times larger than that of lures B and A, and the attractant for lure C was appended every 3~5 d, while that for lures B and A could be used for more than a month with once appended, lure B was the best on the whole. As for the test traps, Xuanzhou trap was superior to imitated Japanese trap, with a trapping efficiency of 36.4 and 9.7 individuals each trap respectively. The attractiveness of attractants was not significantly

# 扩展功能

### 本文信息

- ► Supporting info
- ▶ **PDF**(365KB)
- ►[HTML全文](0KB)
- ▶参考文献

## 服务与反馈

- ▶把本文推荐给朋友
- ▶加入我的书架
- ▶加入引用管理器
- ▶ 复制索引
- ► Email Alert
- ▶文章反馈
- ▶浏览反馈信息

### 相关信息

- ▲刊中 包含 "引诱剂;诱捕器;诱芯;松褐天牛" 的 相关文章
- ▶本文作者相关文章
- 王四宝
- 刘云鹏
- 樊美珍
- 苗雪霞
- 赵学球
- 李增智
- 司胜利
- 黄勇平

enhanced when the dosage was increased from 20 ml to 80 ml, but significantly improved when it was up to 120 ml.

Key words Attractant Trap Lure Monochamus alternatus

DOI:

通讯作者