Scientific Research



Search Keywords, Title, Author, ISBN, ISSN

•							
Home	Journals	Books	Conferences	News	About Us	s Job	
Home > Journal > Earth & Environmental Sciences > AS						Open Special Issues	
Indexing View Papers Aims & Scope Editorial Board Guideline Article Processing Charges						Published Special Issues	
AS> Vol.2 No.3, August 2011						Special Issues Guideline	
OPEN@ACCESS Land application of spent gypsum from ditch filters: phosphorus						AS Subscription	
Source or sink?						Most popular papers in AS	
PDF (Size: 207KB) PP. 364-374 DOI: 10.4236/as.2011.23048 Author(s)						About AS News	
Karen L. Grubb, Joshua M McGrath, Chad J. Penn, Ray B. Bryant ABSTRACT Agricultural drainage ditches can provide a direct connection between fields and surface waters, and some have been shown to deliver high loads of phosphorus (P) to sensitive water bodies. A potential way to reduce nutrient loads in drainage ditches is to install filter structures containing P sorbing materials (PSMs) such as gypsum to remove P from ditch flow. The objective of this study was to determine the effect of land- application of gypsum removed from such filters on soil P forms and concentrations. Gypsum was saturated at two levels on a mass basis of P and applied to two soils of contrasting texture, a silt loam and a sandy loam and applied at both a high and low rate. The treated soils were incubated in the laboratory at 25°C, and samples were collected at 1, 7, and 119 days after initiation. Soil type, time after application, gypsum rate, and P saturation level all had a significant impact on soil P forms and concentrations. However, it appears that land application of spent filter gypsum at realistic rates would have little effect on soluble P concentrations in amended soils.					Frequently Asked Questions		
					Recommend to Peers		
					Recommend to Library		
					Contact Us		
					Downloads:	145,379	
					Visits:	316,704	
KEYWORDS Phosphorus Sorbing Materials; Gypsum, Ditch Filter					Sponsors, Associates, a Links >>		
Cite this paper Grubb, K., McGrath, J., Penn, C. and Bryant, R. (2011) Land application of spent gypsum from ditch filters: phosphorus source or sink?. <i>Agricultural Sciences</i> , 2, 364-374. doi: 10.4236/as.2011.23048.					2013 Spring International Conference on Agriculture and		
References [1] Obama	, B., Executive Order: Chesa	apeake Bay Protectior	and Restoration, 2009.		Food Engined	ering(AFE-S)	
	Sources of phosphorus load www.chesapeakebay.net/st						
	. H., et al., Relating Extracta 1996. 60(3): p. 855-859.	able Soil Phosphorus	to Phosphorus Losses in Ru	noff. Soil Sci. Soc.			
	A.R., et al., Effects of hydr Journal of environmental qua	00	° 1 1	ansport in surface			
	I. T., R. R. Simard, and E		•	rainage: Historical			

Perspective and Current Research. J. Environ. Qual., 1998. 27(2): p. 277-293.

[6] Kleinman, P. J. A., et al., Dynamics of phosphorus transfers from heavily manured Coastal Plain soils to drainage ditches. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 2007. 62(4): p. 225-235.

[7] Vadas, P. A., et al., Hydrology and groundwater nutrient concentrations in a ditch-drained agroecosystem. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 2007. 62(4): p. 178-188.

[8] Penn, C. J., et al., Trapping phosphorus in runoff with a phosphorus removal structure. Journal of Environment Quality, 2011. In Press.

[9] Leader, J. W., E. J. Dunne, and K. R. Reddy, Phosphorus sorbing materials: sorption dynamics and physicochemical characteristics. Journal of environmental quality, 2008. 37(1): p. 174-81.

- [10] Penn, C. J., et al., Removing dissolved phosphorus from drainage ditch water with phosphorus sorbing materials. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 2007. 62(4): p. 269-276.
- [11] Moore, P. A. and D. M. Miller, Decreasing Phosphorus Solubility in Poultry Litter with Aluminum, Calcium, and Iron Amendments. J. Environ. Qual., 1994. 23(2): p. 325- 330.
- [12] Penn, C. J., J. M. McGrath, and R. B. Bryant, Ditch drainage management for water quality improvement. Agricultural drainage ditches: mitigation wetlands for the 21st century, ed. M.T. Moore and R. Kroger2010, Kerala, India: Research Signpost.
- [13] Penn, C. J., et al., Use of industrial by-products to sorb and retain phosphorus. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2011. 42: p. 633-644.
- [14] Clark, R. B., K. D. Ritchey, and V. C. Baligar, Benefits and constraints for use of FGD products on agricultural land. Fuel, 2001. 80(6): p. 821-828.
- [15] Tan, K.H., Soil sampling, preparation, and analysis2005: Taylor & Francis.
- [16] Hedley, M. J., R.E. White, and P. H. Nye, PLANT-IN- DUCED CHANGES IN THE RHIZOSPHERE OF RAPE (BRASSICA NAPUS VAR. EMERALD) SEEDLINGS. New Phytologist, 1982. 91(1): p. 45-56.
- [17] Warren, J. G., et al., The impact of alum addition on organic P transformations in poultry litter and litter- amended soil. Journal of environmental quality, 2008. 37(2): p. 469-76.
- [18] Martin, T. D., J. T. Creed, and C.A. Brockhoff, Method 200.2 Sample preparation procedure for spectrochemical determination of total recoverable elements, E. M. S. Laboratory, Editor 1994: Cincinnati, Ohio.
- [19] Tukey, J. W., The problem of multiple comparisons, 1953, Princeton University.
- [20] Penn, C. J., et al., Alternative Poultry Litter Storage for Improved Transportation and Use as a Soil Amendment. J. Environ. Qual., 2011. 40(1): p. 233-241.