

area index (LAI) of paddy field rice at 2-week intervals. Evapotranspiration (E_{τ}) and the evaporation rate from soil surface (E) were measured with a microlysimeter during the rice planting season. When three methods to calculate estimated evapotranspiration rate (E_c)(the Penman, Van Bavel and Penman-Monteith methods) were applied, the fitness of regression between E_{r} from the Penman-Monteith method and E_{T} was highest. The fitness was improved further when canopy resistance was corrected by the ratio of irradiated to total leaf area calculated empirically from LAI. T was good assumed from the product of E_T and T/E_T which is calculated from the empirical equation¹⁴⁾ as a function of LAI. We concluded that the transpiration rate of paddy rice can be more strictly estimated from E by the Penman-Monteith method with minor modification that canopy resistance is corrected by illuminated leaf area and soil evaporation is assumed by LAI. This method is available for comparison of transpiration rate where continuous measurements of transpiration rate for long intervals is very difficult. Keywords:

Canopy resistance, Evapotranspiration, Leaf area index, Penman-Monteith method, Rice, Stomatal conductance, Transpiration

