| JSTAGE   | My J-STAGE<br>Sign in              |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Japanese Journal of  | Farm Work Research                 |
| Japanese Society o   | Farm Work Research                 |
| Available Issues   Japanese                                    | >> <u>Publisher Site</u>           |
| Author: ADVANCED   | Volume Page                        |
| Keyword: Search  | Go                                 |
| Add to<br>Favorite/Citation Alerts                             | Add to<br>Favorite<br>Publications |
| <u>TOP &gt; Available Issues &gt; Table of Contents &gt; A</u> | bstract                            |

ONLINE ISSN : 1883-2261 PRINT ISSN : 0389-1763

## Japanese Journal of Farm Work Research

Vol. 42 (2007), No. 2 pp.105-110

[PDF (629K)] [References]

## Application of Yield-Monitoring Combine to Test Cultivations for Paddy Rice to Compare their Grain Yields

<u>Koichi SHOJI<sup>1</sup></u>, <u>Nobuya KOBAYASHI<sup>2</sup></u>, <u>Hisashi HORIO<sup>1</sup></u> and <u>Tsuneo</u> <u>KAWAMURA<sup>1</sup></u>

1) Faculty of Agriculture, Kobe University

2) Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS)

(Received May 25, 2006) (Accepted May 2, 2007)

## Abstract

Procedures have been presented to utilize a yield-monitoring combine for test cultivations of paddy rice. As an example, grain yields were compared at two blocks of sparse (30cm×30cm) and controlled (23cm×30cm) transplanting treatments allocated in a paddy field of 0.5ha. Data obtained from the yield-monitoring combine was assumed to represent the populations themselves, and methods were discussed to compare the grain yields between the treatments.

1) To maintain the size of the populations and the normality of their distribution, an appropriate size of the plots to generate the yield data was  $5m\times 6m$  or  $10m\times 3m$  for the experimental field employed.

2) Higher grain yields were observed at lower elevations of the field. For the comparison of the grain yields between the treatments within the same field, it was necessary to incorporate the effect of the micro-elevation into a regression model, or to create populations within a limited range of the micro-elevation. The optimum range of the micro-elevation was  $\pm 15$ mm for the same reason as discussed in 1) above.

3) Difference in the grain yields between the sparse and the controlled treatments was not concluded to be significant under the comparison of the populations specified in 1) and 2) above.

Key words

Grain yield, Yield map, Comparison, Sample number, Population, Normality, Microelevation, Sparse-planting

[PDF (629K)] [References]



Download Meta of Article[<u>Help</u>] <u>RIS</u> <u>BibTeX</u>

To cite this article:

Koichi SHOJI, Nobuya KOBAYASHI, Hisashi HORIO and Tsuneo KAWAMURA (2007): Application of Yield-Monitoring Combine to Test Cultivations for Paddy Rice to Compare their Grain Yields . Japanese Journal of Farm Work Research 42: 2 105-110 .

doi:10.4035/jsfwr.42.105 JOI JST.JSTAGE/jsfwr/42.105

Copyright (c) 2009 Japanese Society of Farm Work Research

