

本期目录 | 下期目录 | 过刊浏览 | 高级检索

[打印本页] [关闭]

同位素示踪·资源环境·动植物生理

干旱及复水条件下草甘膦对抗草甘膦大豆幼苗渗透调节物质和莽草酸含量的影响

原向阳¹, 郭平毅¹, 张丽光¹, 王鑫^{1,2}, 祁祥¹, 邵冬红¹

1. 山西农业大学农学院, 作物化学调控与化学除草实验室, 山西 太谷 030801;

2. 太原市星火技术发展中心, 山西 太原 030009

摘要: 为探明干旱胁迫及复水条件下不同剂量草甘膦对抗草甘膦大豆(RR1)幼苗叶片渗透调节物质、莽草酸(shikimic acid, SA)含量及根系活力的影响, 采用盆栽试验, 在大豆的第3复叶期进行水分胁迫5d和除草剂草甘膦处理, 研究RR1幼苗叶片可溶性蛋白(soluble protein, SP)、可溶性糖(soluble sugar, SS)、游离脯氨酸(free proline, FP)、莽草酸(shikimic acid, SA)含量和根系活力(RA)的变化。结果表明, 干旱胁迫前期RR1叶片的SP含量随草甘膦剂量的增加呈先升高后降低趋势, 0.46kg/hm²叶片SP的含量最高, 胁迫后期SP含量随草甘膦剂量的增加而降低; SS、FP和SA含量随草甘膦剂量的增加和胁迫时间的延长而增加, RA随草甘膦剂量的增加和胁迫时间的延长而降低。复水12d后, 不同剂量草甘膦处理的各指标均有所恢复。干旱条件下, 经草甘膦处理的RR1叶片的SP含量和RA低于草甘膦在正常水分条件下的处理, 而SS、FP和SA含量相反。相关性分析表明, FP和SA含量与草甘膦剂量的相关关系最明显; 而SS和SA含量与干旱胁迫时间的相关关系最明显。说明正常水分条件下, 草甘膦对RR1幼苗造成的伤害经过一段时间后有所缓解; 干旱胁迫加剧了草甘膦对RR1幼苗叶片渗透调节物质、莽草酸含量和根系活力的影响。抗草甘膦大豆主要通过积累FP、SS和SA对草甘膦和干旱胁迫做出响应。

关键词: 干旱 草甘膦 抗草甘膦大豆 渗透调节物质 莽草酸

EFFECTS OF GLYPHOSATE AND POST-DROUGHT REWATERING ON OSMOLYTES AND SHIKIMIC ACID CONTENT IN LEAVES OF GLYPHOSATE-RESISTANT SOYBEAN [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] SEEDLINGS

YUAN Xiang-yang¹, GUO Ping-yi¹, ZHANG Li-guang¹, WANG Xin^{1,2}, QI Xiang¹, SHAO Dong-hong¹

1. Laboratory of Crop Chemical Regulation and Chemical Weed Control, Agronomy College, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, Shanxi 030801;

2. Taiyuan Spark Technology Development Center, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030009

Abstract: In this study, a pot experiment was conducted to explore glyphosate and post-drought rewetting on osmotic adjusting substances, shikimic acid content and root activity in leaves of glyphosate-resistant soybean seedlings (RR1) and analyze soluble sugar(SS), soluble protein (SP), free proline(FP), shikimic acid (SA) content, and root activity of RR1 under water stress (soil absolute water content is 25%) and glyphosate treatments (0, 0.46, 0.92(recommended dosage), 1.84 and 3.68kg/hm²) were conducted at three-trifoliolate leaf stage. Results showed that, during the early period of drought condition, SP content of RR1 increased first, then reduced with increasing of glyphosate dosage, and was the highest at treatment of 0.46kg/hm². At the later period of drought treatment, SP content decreased with increasing of glyphosate dosage. While, SS, FP and SA content increased with increasing of glyphosate dosage and prolonged drought stress time. Moreover, stress of glyphosate and drought stress decreased the root activity of RR1. The variation of all these indexes in drought condition was more obvious than which in normal water condition. About 17 days later in normal water condition and 12 days after rewetting, contents of SS, SP, FP, SA and root activity of RR1 recovered to some extent. FP and SA contents were correlated with glyphosate dosage most obviously; while drought stress time had the most obvious relationship with SS and SA content. Finally, in normal water condition, the injury of RR1 caused by glyphosate could be eased relieved through a period of growth and development. Drought stress aggravated the influence of glyphosate on RR1 leaf osmotic adjusting substances, shikimic acid content and root activity. Glyphosate-resistant soybean seedlings responded to glyphosate and drought stress mainly through the accumulation of FP, SS and SA in plant.

Keywords: drought glyphosate glyphosate-resistant soybean osmolyte shikimic acid

[打印本页]

扩展功能

本文信息

- ▶ Supporting info
- ▶ PDF(1888KB)
- ▶ [HTML全文]
- ▶ 参考文献[PDF]
- ▶ 参考文献

服务与反馈

- ▶ 把本文推荐给朋友
- ▶ 加入我的书架
- ▶ 加入引用管理器
- ▶ 引用本文
- ▶ Email Alert
- ▶ 文章反馈
- ▶ 浏览反馈信息

本文关键词相关文章

- ▶ 干旱
- ▶ 草甘膦
- ▶ 抗草甘膦大豆
- ▶ 渗透调节物质
- ▶ 莽草酸

本文作者相关文章

- ▶ 原向阳
- ▶ 郭平毅
- ▶ 张丽光
- ▶ 王鑫
- ▶ 祁祥
- ▶ 邵冬红

PubMed

- ▶ Article by YUAN Xiang-yang
- ▶ Article by GUO Ping-yi
- ▶ Article by ZHANG Li-guang
- ▶ Article by WANG Xin
- ▶ Article by QI Xiang
- ▶ Article by SHAO Dong-hong

DOI:

基金项目:

国家“948”计划(610022);山西农业大学引进人才科研启动项目(XB2009018)

通讯作者: 郭平毅(1956-),男,山西寿阳人,博士,教授,研究方向为作物化学调控与化学除草。

Tel: 0354-6286938; E-mail: pyguo126@126.com

作者简介:

作者Email: pyguo126@126.com

参考文献:

- [1] Blokhina O, Virolainen E, Fagerstedt K. Antioxidants, oxidative damage and oxygen deprivation stress: a review[J]. Annals of Botany, 2003, 91(2): 179-194
- [2] 蔡昆争, 吴学祝, 骆世明. 不同生育期水分胁迫对水稻根叶渗透调节物质变化的影响[J]. 植物生态学报, 2008, 32(2): 491-500
- [3] 蔡昆争, 吴学祝, 骆世明, 王维. 抽穗期不同程度水分胁迫对水稻产量和根叶渗透调节物质的影响[J]. 生态学报, 2008, 28(12): 6148-6158
- [4] 原向阳, 张丽光, 郭平毅, 王鑫, 姚满生, 王宏富. 第二复叶期喷施草甘膦对抗草甘膦大豆生理指标及产量构成的影响[J]. 核农学报, 2008, 22(1): 88-92
- [5] Reddy K N. Glyphosate-resistant soybean as a weed management tool: opportunities and challenges[J]. Weed Biology and Management, 2002, 1(4): 193-202
- [6] 原向阳, 郭平毅, 张丽光, 王鑫, 姚满生, 王宏富. 不同时期喷施草甘膦对大豆生理指标的影响[J]. 中山大学学报(自然科学版), 2009, 48(2): 90-94
- [7] Young B G, Young J M, Matthews J L, Matthews J L, Owen M D K, Zelaya I A, Hartzler R G, Wax L M, Rorem K W, Bollero G A. Soybean development and yield as affected by three postemergence herbicides[J]. Agronomy Journal, 2003, 95(5): 1152-1156
- [8] Zablotowicz R M, Reddy K N. Impact of glyphosate on the *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* symbiosis with glyphosate-resistant transgenic soybean: a minireview[J]. Journal of Environmental Quality, 2004, 33(3): 825-831
- [9] Zablotowicz R M, Reddy K N. Nitrogenase activity, nitrogen content, and yield responses to glyphosate in glyphosate-resistant soybean[J]. Crop Protection, 2007, 26(3): 370-376
- [10] 原向阳, 郭平毅, 张丽光, 王鑫, 赵锐, 郭秀, 宋喜娥. 干旱胁迫下草甘膦对抗草甘膦大豆幼苗保护酶活性及脂质过氧化作用的影响[J]. 中国农业科学, 2010, 43(4): 698-705
- [11] King C A, Purcell L C, Vories E D. Plant growth and nitrogenase activity of glyphosate-tolerant soybean in response to foliar glyphosate applications[J]. Agronomy Journal, 2001, 93(1): 179-186
- [12] 王翠花, 孙志刚, 杨晓松. PEG处理对大豆叶片抗氧化酶活性的影响[J]. 内蒙古民族大学学报(自然科学版), 2005, 20(5): 523-526
- [13] 王启明. 干旱胁迫对大豆苗期叶片保护酶活性和膜脂过氧化作用的影响[J]. 农业环境科学学报, 2006, 25(4): 918-921
- [14] 王磊, 胡楠, 张彤, 丁圣彦. 干旱和复水对大豆(*Glycine max*)叶片光合及叶绿素荧光的影响[J]. 生态学报, 2007, 27(9): 3630-3636
- [15] Chaves M M, Oliveira M M. Mechanisms underlying plant resilience to water deficits: prospects for water-saving agriculture[J]. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2004, 407 (55): 2365-2384
- [16] 张恒月, 郭屹立, 王磊, 魏巍, 丁圣彦. 干旱和复水对大豆叶片光合生理特性及产量的影响[J]. 河南大学学报(自然科学版), 2009, 39(2): 183-188
- [17] 郭屹立, 王磊, 丁圣彦. 干旱处理对大豆光合生理特性及生物量的影响[J]. 中山大学研究生学刊(自然科学、医学版), 2009, 30(1): 25-34
- [18] 王磊, 王鹏程, 张彤, 张恒月, 丁圣彦. 结荚期短期干旱和复水对大豆(*Glycine max*)叶片光合和产量的影响[J]. 生态学报, 2009, 29(6): 3328-3336
- [19] 王磊, 张彤, 丁圣彦. 干旱和复水对大豆光合生理生态特性的影响[J]. 生态学报, 2006, 26(7): 2073-2078
- [20] Gibson S I. Control of plant development and gene expression by sugar signaling[J]. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 2005, 8(1): 93-102
- [21] Couée I, Sulmon C, Gouesbet G, Amrani A.E. Involvement of soluble sugars in reactive oxygen species balance and responses to oxidative stress in plants[J]. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2006, 57(3): 449-459

[22] 严美玲, 李向东, 林英杰, 王丽丽, 周录英. 苗期干旱胁迫对不同抗旱花生品种生理特性、产量和品质的影响[J]. 作物学报, 2007, 33(1): 113-119

本刊中的类似文章

1. 樊洪泓, 李廷春, 李正鹏, 林毅, 蔡永萍, 金青. PEG模拟干旱胁迫对石斛DNA表观遗传变化的MSAP分析[J]. 核农学报, 2011, 25(2): 363-368
2. 罗英, 罗明华. 干旱胁迫对丹参幼苗气体交换特征和保护酶活性的影响[J]. 核农学报, 2011, 25(2): 375-381
3. 朱国念, 郭江峰, 孙锦荷. 应用¹⁴C核素研究草甘膦在水域生态系中的迁移、生物富集与消失动态[J]. 核农学报, 2002, 16(03): 185-190
4. 范辉民, 于国华, 殷锡圣, 战淑敏, 刘新. 旱地冬小麦生育后期有关光合特性及光合产物分配规律研究