	Home About FAQ My Account
tome > ETDS > THESES > 453	Enter search terms:
	Search
	in this series
Masters Theses 1896 - February 2014	
Wasters meses 1000 - February 2014	Advanced Search
Off-campus UMass Amherst users: To download campus access theses, please use the following link to	Notify me via email or RSS
log into our proxy server with your UMass Amherst user name and password.	Browse
Non-UMass Amherst users: Please talk to your librarian about requesting this thesis through interlibrar loan.	
	Disciplines
Theses that have an embargo placed on them will not be available to anyone until the embargo expires	s. <u>Authors</u>
	Author Corner
Spatial Ecology of Eastern Coyotes (Canis latrans) in the Anthropogenic Landscape	d <u>Author FAQ</u>
of Cape Cod, Massachusetts	ARE Links
	University Libraries
Maili Page, University of Massachusetts	UMass Amherst
Follow	Contact Us
Document Type Open Access	
Degree Program	
Wildlife & Fisheries Conservation	
Degree Type	
Master of Science (M.S.)	
Year Degree Awarded 2010	
Month Degree Awarded	
Мау	
Keywords Coyote, Canis latrans, Land Use, Home Range, Preference Index, Spatial Data Analysis	
Abstract Historically, coyotes were associated with the western United States. During their expansion eastward, coyotes have become more tolerant of humans and have been able to live in varying degrees of urbanization. One main question ecologists around the country are asking is how coyotes are surviving in anthropogenic environments. To aid in answering this question, I have compared coyote land use preference generally and specifically during coyote breeding season, winter and summer, human tourist seasons, and day and night. I also compared coyote land cover	
preference for deciduous and evergreen cover types during natural seasons. I found that, in general, there was a high variation of preference between and within land use categories. More broadly however, they	

prefer natural areas over non-natural areas. They used natural and nonnatural land use types equally in winter and summer, and during tourist and off-tourist seasons with increased variation in preference during seasons with higher human activity. They had a higher preference for non-natural land use types at night. There is no difference in coyote preference for deciduous or evergreen cover types during the seasons.

Advisor(s) or Committee Chair Finn, John T

 This page is sponsored by the <u>University Libraries.</u>

 © 2009 <u>University of Massachusetts Amherst</u>

 • <u>Site Policies</u>