

[ENGINEERING](#) | [NEWS RELEASES](#) | [RESEARCH](#) | [SCIENCE](#)

June 19, 2017

To connect biology with electronics, be rigid, yet flexible

James Urton

UW News


The problem is a fundamental incompatibility in communication styles.

That conclusion might crop up during divorce proceedings, or describe a diplomatic row. But scientists designing polymers that can bridge the biological and electronic divide must also deal with incompatible messaging styles. Electronics rely on racing streams of electrons, but the same is not true for our brains.

“Most of our technology relies on electronic currents, but biology transduces signals with ions, which are charged atoms or molecules,” said [David Ginger](#), professor of chemistry at the University of Washington and chief scientist at the UW’s [Clean Energy Institute](#). “If you want to interface electronics and biology, you need a material that effectively communicates across those two realms.”

Ginger is senior author of a [paper](#) published online June 19 in [Nature Materials](#) in which UW researchers directly measured a thin film made of a single type of conjugated polymer — a conducting plastic — as it interacted with ions and electrons. They show how variations in the polymer layout yielded rigid and non-rigid regions of the film, and that these regions could accommodate electrons or ions — but not both equally. The softer, non-rigid areas were poor electron conductors but could subtly swell to take in ions, while the opposite was true for rigid regions.

Organic semiconducting polymers are complex matrices made from repeating units of a carbon-rich molecule. An organic polymer that can accommodate both types of conduction — ions and electrons — is the key to creating new biosensors, flexible bioelectronic implants and better batteries. But differences in size and behavior between tiny electrons and bulky ions have made this no easy task.




Depiction a thin film in orange and yellow, showing regions that are able to subtly swell to let in ion molecules. *Rajiv Giridharagopal*

Their results demonstrate how critical the polymer synthesis and layout process is to the film's electronic and ionic conductance properties. Their findings may even point the way forward in creating polymer devices that can balance the demands of electronic transport and ion transport.

"We now understand the design principles to make polymers that can transport both ions and electrons more effectively," said Ginger. "We even demonstrate by microscopy how to see the locations in these soft polymer films where the ions are transporting effectively and where they aren't."

Ginger's team measured the physical and electrochemical properties of a film made out of poly(3-hexylthiophene), or P3HT, which is a relatively common organic semiconductor material. Lead author Rajiv Giridharagopal, a research scientist in the UW Department of Chemistry, probed the P3HT film's electrochemical properties in part by borrowing a technique originally developed to measure electrodes in lithium-ion batteries.



Depiction of the electrochemical strain microscopy technique used to measure which regions of the P3HT film could subtly swell to let in ion molecules. *Rajiv Giridharagopal*

The approach, electrochemical strain microscopy, uses a needle-like probe suspended by a mechanical arm to measure changes in the physical size of an object with atomic-level precision. Giridharagopal discovered that, when a P3HT film was placed in an ion solution, certain regions of the film could subtly swell to let ions flow into the film.

"This was an almost imperceptible swelling — just 1 percent of the film's total thickness," said Giridharagopal. "And using other methods, we discovered that the regions of the film that could swell to accommodate ion entry also had a less rigid structure and polymer arrangement."

More rigid and crystalline regions of the film could not swell to let in ions. But the rigid areas were ideal patches for conducting electrons.

Ginger and his team wanted to confirm that structural variations in the polymer were the cause of these variations in electrochemical properties of the film. Co-author [Christine Luscombe](#), a UW associate professor of materials science and engineering and member of the Clean Energy Institute, and her team made new P3HT films that had different levels of rigidity based on variations in polymer arrangement.

By subjecting these new films to the same array of tests, Giridharagopal showed a clear correlation between polymer arrangement and electrochemical properties. The less rigid and more amorphous polymer layouts yielded films that could swell to let in ions, but were poor conductors of electrons. More crystalline polymer arrangements yielded more rigid films that could easily conduct electrons.

These measurements demonstrate for the first time that small structural differences in how organic polymers are processed and assembled can have major consequences for how the film accommodates ions or electrons. It may also mean that this tradeoff between the needs of ion and electrons is unavoidable. But these results give Ginger hope that another solution is possible.

“The implication of these findings is that you could conceivably embed a crystalline material — which could transport electrons — within a material that is more amorphous and could transport ions,” said Ginger. “Imagine that you could harness the best of both worlds, so that you could have a material that is able to effectively transport electrons and swell with ion uptake — and then couple the two with one another.”

If so, then a bioelectronic divorce may not be on the horizon, but better bioelectronic devices and better batteries should be.

Co-authors were UW doctoral students Lucas Flagg, Jeff Harrison, Mark Ziffer and Jon Onorato. The work was funded by the National Science Foundation, the UW Clean Energy Institute, the Washington Research Foundation and the Alvin L. and Verla R. Kwiram endowed fund in the UW Department of Chemistry.

###

For more information, contact Ginger at dginger@uw.edu or 206-685-2331 and Giridharagopal at rgiri@uw.edu or 206-221-4191.

Grant numbers: DMR-1607242, DMR-1533372, DMR-1629369.


DOI: 10.1038/nmat4918

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Email](#)

Tag(s): [Clean Energy Institute](#) • [College of Arts & Sciences](#) • [David Ginger](#) • [Department of Chemistry](#)



Lead author Rajiv Giridharagopal, left, and co-author Lucas Flagg, right, standing next to an atomic force microscope. *Dane deQuilettes*

SEARCH UW NEWS

Search for:



CATEGORIES

[Browse](#)

UW EXPERTS

[Wildfires](#)

[Public policy & politics](#)

[Climate change](#)

[Water quality](#)

[More topics](#)

Latest news




[Labor leader Frank Jenkins honored with UW fellowship](#)

[2 days ago](#)



[Rankings put UW at No. 4 among US universities](#)

[2 days ago](#)



[For UW physicists, the 2-D form of tungsten ditelluride is full of surprises](#)

[3 days ago](#)

[More](#)

SUBSCRIBE TO UW TODAY

Your email address

SUBMIT

Daily Weekly Roundup

