

金章东, 李英, 王苏民. 不同构造带硅酸盐化学风化率的制约: 气候还是构造?[J]. 地质论评, 2005, 51(6): 672-680

不同构造带硅酸盐化学风化率的制约: 气候还是构造? [点此下载全文](#)

[金章东](#) [李英](#) [王苏民](#)

1)

中国科学院南京地理与湖泊研究所, 210008; 2)

长安大学资源学院, 西安, 710054

基金项目: 本文为国家“973”重点基础研究发展计划(编号2004CB720200)和国家自然科学基金项目(编号40373004)资助成果

DOI:

摘要:

虽然构造—风化—气候之间的制约关系仍然存在各种争论, 但无疑的是, 硅酸盐矿物的化学风化是调节地质时间尺度全球大气二氧化碳分压, 进而保持地球表层气候稳定的关键性因素。目前最大的挑战在于如何理解地表制约硅酸盐矿物化学风化的因素, 特别是当仅仅从气候要素变化难以解释长时间尺度硅酸盐化学风化率的时候。综合不同构造区内岩石物理论蚀率和硅酸盐化学风化率的数据表明, 不同时间、空间尺度硅酸盐风化率与构造和气候之间既存在相互耦合也存在矛盾的关系, 仅仅归因于单一要素是不能得到圆满解释的。构造隆升区的强剥蚀可能是造成硅酸盐风化率增加的重要因素之一, 但是将晚新生代地表系统的各种变化与各构造带(如青藏高原)的阶段性隆升联系起来可能是草率的。在不同类型构造带内, 气候和构造对硅酸盐风化的制约并非相互排斥的, 特别是长时间尺度, 因此“构造隆升—化学风化—气候变化”假说也正面临着全新的挑战。

关键词: [硅酸盐](#) [气温](#) [构造隆升](#) [化学风化率](#) [全球变化](#)

Constraints on Silicate Weathering Rates in Different Settings: Climatic or Tectonic? [Download Fulltext](#)

[JIN Zhangdong](#) [LI Ying](#) [WANG Sumin](#)

1)

Nanjing Institute of Geography & Limnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Nanjing. 210008 2)

School of Natural Resource, Chang'an University. Xi'an. 710054

Fund Project:

Abstract:

Though there have been controversies concerning relationships among weathering rate and tectonic and climatic change, it is commonly recognized that chemical weathering of silicate minerals plays a substantial role in regulating the partial pressure of atmospheric carbon dioxide and thus in maintaining global surface climatic stability over the geological timescale. How to understand the constraints on chemical weathering of silicate minerals remains a major challenge, especially when any single climatic factors have been proved hard to interpret the long-term silicate weathering rate. Based on the compilation of chemical weathering rates of silicate minerals and physical erosion rates in different settings, this study shows that weathering rates and tectonic and climatic changes on different spatial and temporal scales have coupling or even conflicting relationships. Such results suggest that the silicate weathering rates cannot be explained in terms of merely a single process, and that the explanation requires a consideration of multiple factors. Although influence of tectonic uplift is suggested to serve as an important role on the change of silicate weathering, it may be cursory to link various changes on the Earth's surface in the Late Cenozoic to the stepwise uplift of the main orogenic belts, especially of the Himalayan-Tibetan orogen. In different settings, tectonic and climatic constraints on silicate weathering are not necessarily incompatible, especially on time scales of a few million years. Thus, the hypothesis proposed for “tectonic uplift-chemical weathering-climatic changes” is faced with a new challenge.

Keywords: [silicate mineral](#) [air temperature](#) [tectonic uplift](#) [weathering rate](#) [global change](#)

[查看全文](#) [查看/发表评论](#) [下载PDF阅读器](#)

您是第694003位访问者 版权所有《地质论评》

地址: 北京阜成门外百万庄路26号 邮编: 100037 电话: 010-68999804 传真: 010-68995305

本系统由北京勤云科技发展有限公司设计