

[Related articles](#)Volume 4, issue 1 | [Copyright](#) ▾

Development and technical paper | 25 Jan 2011

SMOKE for Europe – adaptation, modification and evaluation of a comprehensive emission model for Europe

J. Bieser et al. ▾

Received: 09 Jun 2010 – Discussion started: 07 Jul 2010 – Revised: 10 Nov 2010 – Accepted: 11 Nov 2010 – Published: 25 Jan 2011

Abstract. The US EPA regional emission model SMOKE was adopted and modified to create temporally and spatially distributed emission for Europe and surrounding countries based on official reports and public domain data only. The aim is to develop a flexible model capable of creating consistent high resolution emission data for long-term runs of Chemical Transport Models (CTMs). This modified version of SMOKE, called SMOKE for EUROPE (SMOKE-EU) was successfully used to create hourly gridded emissions for the timespan 1970–2010.

In this paper the SMOKE-EU model and the underlying European datasets are introduced. Emission data created by SMOKE-EU for the year 2000 are evaluated by comparison to data of three different state-of-the-art emission models. SMOKE-EU produced a range of values comparable to the other three datasets. Further, concentrations of criteria pollutants calculated by the CTM CMAQ using the four different emission datasets were compared against EMEP measurements with hourly and daily resolution. Using SMOKE-EU gave the most reliable modelling of O₃, NO₂ and SO₄²⁻. The amount of simulated concentrations within a factor of 2 (F2) of the observations for these species are: O₃ (F2 = 0.79, N = 329 197), NO₂ (F2 = 0.55, N = 11 465) and SO₄²⁻ (F2 = 0.62, N = 17 536). The lowest values were found for NH₄⁺ (F2 = 0.34, N = 7400) and NO₃⁻ (F2 = 0.25, N = 6184). NH₄⁺ concentrations were generally overestimated, leading to a fractional bias (FB) averaged over 22 measurement stations of (FB = 0.83 ± 0.41) while better agreements with observations were found for SO₄²⁻ (FB = 0.06 ± 0.38, 51 stations) and NO₃⁻ (FB = 0.13 ± 0.75, 18 stations).

CMAQ simulations using the three other emission datasets were similar to those modelled using SMOKE-EU emissions. Highest differences were found for NH₄⁺ while O₃ concentrations were almost identical.

Download & links

- Article (PDF, 2870 KB)
- Supplement (1689 KB)

How to cite: Bieser, J., Aulinger, A., Matthias, V., Quante, M., and Builtjes, P.: SMOKE for Europe – adaptation, modification and evaluation of a comprehensive emission model for Europe, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 4, 47–68, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-47-2011>, 2011.