arXiv.org > astro-ph > arXiv:1107.6046

Search or Article-id

(Help | Advance

All papers

## Astrophysics > Solar and Stellar Astrophysics

# The Progenitor Mass of SN 2011dh from **Stellar Populations Analysis**

Jeremiah W. Murphy (1), Zachary G. Jennings (1), Benjamin Williams (1), Julianne J. Dalcanton (1), Andrew E. Dolphin (2), ((1) Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, (2) Raytheon)

(Submitted on 29 Jul 2011 (v1), last revised 13 Oct 2011 (this version, v3))

Using Hubble Space Telescope (HST) photometry, we characterize the age of the stellar association in the vicinity of supernova (SN) 2011dh and use it to infer the zero-age main sequence mass (M\_ {ZAMS}) of the progenitor star. We find two distinct and significant star formation events with ages of <6 and  $17^{+3}_{-4}$  Myrs, and the corresponding M\_{ZAMS} are >29 and  $13^{+2}_{-1}$  M\_{Sun}, respectively. These two bursts represent 18^{+4}\_{-9}% (young) and 64^{+10}\_{-14}% (old) of the total star formation in the last 50 Myrs. Adopting these fractions as probabilities suggests that the most probable M {ZAMS} is 13^{+2} {-1} M {Sun}. These results are most sensitive to the luminosity function along the well-understood main sequence and are less sensitive to uncertain late-stage stellar evolution. Therefore, they stand even if the progenitor suffered disruptive post-main-sequence evolution (e.g. eruptive mass loss or binary Roche-lobe overflow). Progenitor identification will help to further constrain the appropriate population. Even though pre-explosion images show a yellow supergiant (YSG) at the site of the SN, panchromatic SN light curves suggest a more compact star as the progenitor. In spite of this, our results suggest an association between the YSG and the SN. Not only was the star located at the SN site, but reinforcing an association, the star's bolometric luminosity is consistent with the final evolutionary stage of the 17 Myr old star burst. If the YSG disappears, then M {ZAMS}=13^{+2} {-1} M {Sun}, but if it persists, then our results allow the possibility that the progenitor was an unseen star of >29 M\_{Sun}.

Comments: 5 pages in emulateapi, 2 figures, accepted by ApJL. Comments are welcome

Solar and Stellar Astrophysics (astro-ph.SR) Subjects:

Cite as: arXiv:1107.6046 [astro-ph.SR]

(or arXiv:1107.6046v3 [astro-ph.SR] for this version)

#### **Submission history**

From: Jeremiah Murphy [view email] [v1] Fri, 29 Jul 2011 19:59:59 GMT (51kb) [v2] Tue, 2 Aug 2011 00:21:55 GMT (51kb) [v3] Thu, 13 Oct 2011 15:18:56 GMT (52kb)

Which authors of this paper are endorsers?

### **Download:**

- PDF
- PostScript
- Other formats

Current browse cont astro-ph.SR

< prev | next > new | recent | 1107

Change to browse b astro-ph

#### References & Citation

- **INSPIRE HEP** (refers to | cited by)
- NASA ADS

Bookmark(what is this?)







Link back to: arXiv, form interface, contact.