

国际传播主体探析 · 美国的传播霸权

· 传播全球化的象征秩序
全球传媒体系与新自由...
· 国际传播与国家影响力

· 全球化下中国国际新闻...

Rethinking the Lessons of Journalism School

时间: 2002-9-2 20:47:29 来源: 中国新闻研究中心 阅读1048次

Rethinking the Lessons of Journalism School

The New York Times August 17, 2002

By MICHAEL JANEWAY

In the last century, professional education for law, business and medicine has undergone a transformation. Before the New Deal, for example, legal scholars at Yale and Harvard integrated analysis of economics and finance into the teaching of law (and then designed the first effective regulation of our market economy). After World War II, leading business schools began offering the M.B.A. degree to give their students a broader education in management and economics. Medical schools also moved on from trade-school models and began to engage with fields like ethics.

Such conceptual reform has never occurred in journalism education. Its time is overdue - but if the experience of Columbia University is any indication, it will be a difficult undertaking. Last month Columbia's new president, Lee Bollinger, prompted criticism when he halted a search for a new dean for the university's Graduate School of Journalism, saying that the school needed to rethink its mission. "To teach the craft of journalism is a worthy goal," he said, but it is "clearly insufficient in this new world." He will appoint a task force to study the school's purpose and report its findings by the end of the year.

Rarely has the need for a well-informed society been so great. Yet in the last several decades the ability of news organizations to provide probing and insightful information has been compromised. Driven by corporate imperatives like cost-cutting and revenue growth, whole sectors of the news business now tilt toward "infotainment" and soft features. Meanwhile, anyone with a public agenda employs teams of consultants to spin the news, making it harder to separate fact from pseudo-fact. And the need for expertise does not stop at the task of informed reaction. Event crowds out event - Sept. 11 followed by Enron - and serious news organizations do superb work reporting them. But discerning readers and viewers are right to ask why they aren't reading or watching reports on issues like terrorism or fraud before such stories break, not after.

At the same time, the best journalism is timeless and involves skills in reporting, judgment and critical thinking that need no New Deal. Many promising young journalists, often editors at undergraduate college newspapers, don't need graduate education the way their counterparts in law, business and medicine do, and the field carries no certification. Recognizing this, news organizations have never invested in journalism education the way corporate America invests in business schools.

Mr. Bollinger faces a dilemma. Society is changing, and the news business along with it. But the very transformations that make reform so urgent also work against it. There is a tension between the demands of society and the habits of the industry. So what should Columbia and other journalism schools do? Training students for entry-level jobs is redundant; many universities offer such classes at the undergraduate level. The leading graduate programs in journalism, which can cost more than \$30,000 a year, should add depth and value to a university education.

At Columbia, reforms in student recruitment, curriculum, financial aid and placement could combine to extend the length of the program (currently an airless, boot camp-like 10 months). Students could use the extra time to gain expertise in a variety of fields - the sciences, economics,

the environment, management, the arts. They may earn a joint degree. Or some new form of journalism education might evolve - for example, urban sociology or international affairs, taught by experts in such fields who also present their work journalistically. (Speculation that Mr. Bollinger intends an injection of theory-driven "communications studies" into Columbia's program is without basis.) Columbia and other journalism schools might then be better able to attract those intelligent, ambitious young journalists who currently skip such programs altogether.

Such reform requires the collaboration of news industry leaders. Working with the university's other departments and with other innovative journalism schools, Columbia can create a vision for journalism education that moves it, finally, beyond what Mr. Bollinger has correctly diagnosed as its preoccupation with form and technique at the expense of depth and content.

By changing with the times, America's journalism schools can do more than just help an industry strengthen itself. They can also help create a truly informed public - and thus serve the cause of democracy.

Michael Janeway, director of the National Arts Journalism Program at Columbia University, is a former editor of The Boston Globe. He serves on the search committee for a new journalism dean at Columbia.

文章管理:林舟 (共计 5 篇)	
CDDC刊载文章仅为学习研究,转载CDDC原创文章请注明出处!	
相关文章: 新闻教育	
· 网络时代我国新闻教育困境出路 (2007-8-17)	
· 网络时代我国新闻教育的困境 (2007-7-31)	
· 重新认识新闻教育对新闻实践的指导意义 (2007-1-18)	
· 媒体"订单式"培养方式给新闻教育的启示 (2007-1-10)	
· 美国新闻教育的起源与培养定位 (2006-12-14)	
>>更多	
Rethinking the Lessons of Journalism School 会员评论[共 0 篇] ┣	
┌╡ 我要评论 ┝	
会员名 密码:	
提交重写	

关于CDDC◆联系CDDC ◆投稿信箱◆ 会员注册◆版权声明◆ 隐私条款◆网站律师◆CDDC服务◆技术支持

对CDDC有任何建议、意见或投诉,请点这里在线提交!

◆MSC Status Organization◆中国新闻研究中心◆版权所有◆不得转载◆Copyright [©] 2001--2009 www.cddc.net 未经授权禁止转载、摘编、复制或建立镜像.如有违反,追究法律责任.