

Issue 14:

No Future: By Lee Edelr Durham, NC: (pbk) 208pp. A Review by

Lee Edelman's reasons why to the film studie bravura readii North by North one might not has produced appeared vari conceiving the cultural studies Edelman's boo film studies he importantly, s with a radical

Key to Edelm insistently ma political prono murder of Ma cultural fanta attended to h things, produ procedure wo Criticism, that rather as a ki mechanism b productions. to Roland Bar sympathetic t concerned wit odd minutes Zizek, who ha across variou

In fact, this o the critic as th in The Birds. that critics an film's utter la cultural fanta at the "fullnes somehow be child, and in a signifying futu Michael Warn envisions its Edelman illus television pub forced choice hysteria over threatens the symbolic posi Edelman's arg

language), ar theory or dec fundamentals oversimplifyir argument. Ps which an image symbolic stru "politics"). Bu insistence alw abyss of a rad conceptualize highly origina rhetorical dev difficult piece own neologis which each su the drives: as sinthome is a collapses. In had brought t

sinthome," the this continger position of per of any fantasr acknowledgme the order of the

In Hitchcock's excellence in focus of Edelr down, grindin of Mount Rus Thornhill's sin "development Leonard, mea which through that figurality course, is the interpretive m ready to stan order to expla sinthomosex. Martin and th St. Martin for beggar was a or fuck him" of his neighbo compassion a think he shou comes to figu fantasies that (Lacan ends t this in turn ha and others. E agents of the does the disfi is able to pro end, when Hi the honeymo the Hollywood futurism and,

Two things sh clearly this is psychoanalys interpretation between a the the edge and push the ana something ab the fact that I parlor (if not occupying the develop at so Edelman note Hitchcock's de the implicatio the complace Psycho (1960 dream factory consciously o going on in fil nature of cine start. Benjam notion; and it Frankfurt sch "cinematic". S Cryptonymies

But on this no comprises the interpreted as "come from S of the sintho discussion of around -- wh accrued to the how, for exar this "mistake prey". Edelma of this sequer the analysis t "storyline" Hit positively tute several men, Birds, the boy dark cloud of will stand as a children will b

When Annie H ever stop mig of the drives repetition." E "*The Birds* Is syntax itself. embodied in t narrative's pr disruption ma (and herself, course in gen bit of dialogue school". How partying than brings to Cath for a mynah b order to shoc Edelman argu constructed b "children", in as it careens

While I've trie argument, it i Edelman bring the argument quotes, this c notes that "we might survive book presents while difficult,

ABOUT I