

Home | Computational Linguistics | List Article navigation of Issues | Volume 41, No. 1 | Concrete Models and Empirical Evaluations for the Categorical Compositional Distributional Model of Meaning



Quarterly (March, June, September, December) 160pp. per issue 6 3/4 x 10 Founded: 1974 2018 Impact Factor: 1.319 2018 Google Scholar h5-index: 32 ISSN: 0891-2017 E-ISSN: 1530-9312

## Journal Resources

Editorial Info Abstracting and Indexing Release Schedule Advertising Info

### Author Resources

Submission Guidelines

# Concrete Models and Empirical Evaluations for the Categorical Compositional Distributional Model of Meaning

# Edward Grefenstette and Mehrnoosh Sadrzadeh

Posted Online April 14, 2015 https://doi.org/10.1162/COLI a\_00209

© 2015 Association for Computational Linguistics

Computational Linguistics Volume 41 | Issue 1 | March 2015 p.71-118

 $\odot$  Download Options >

# Abstract Full Text Authors

Modeling compositional meaning for sentences using empirical distributional methods has been

Publication Agreement Author Reprints

### Reader Resources

**Rights and** Permissions Most Read Most Cited

More About Computational Linguistics

Metrics



Total 9 citations 4 Recent citations 4.42 Field Citation

Ratio n/a Relative **Citation Ratio** 

#### **Open Access**

Computational Linguistics Computational Linguistics is **Open Access.** All content is freely available in electronic format (Full text HTML, PDF, and PDF Plus) to

a challenge for computational linguists. The categorical model of Clark, Coecke, and Sadrzadeh (2008) and Coecke, Sadrzadeh, and Clark (2010) provides a solution by unifying a categorial grammar and a distributional model of meaning. It takes into account syntactic relations during semantic vector composition operations. But the setting is abstract. It has not been evaluated on empirical data and applied to any language tasks. We generate concrete models for this setting by developing algorithms to construct tensors and linear maps and instantiate the abstract parameters using empirical data. We then evaluate our concrete models against several experiments, both existing and new, based on measuring how well models align with human judgments in a paraphrase detection task. Our results show the implementation of this general abstract framework to perform on par with or outperform other leading models in these experiments.<sup>1</sup>

### Forthcoming

Most Read

**b** Lexicon-Based Methods for Sentiment Analysis Deep Learning (13965 times) Maite Taboada et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. 267-307

**Computational** Linguistics and (10500 times) Christopher D. Manning Computational Linguistics Volume: 41, Issue: 4, pp. 701-707

#### Solution Near-Synonymy and Lexical Choice (3653 times) Philip Edmonds et al.

See More

Computational Linguistics Volume: 28, Issue: 2, pp. 105-144

(Note that the Most Read numbers are based on the number of full text downloads over the last 12 months.)

#### Most Cited

See More

2018/11/23 Concrete Models and Empirical Evaluations for the Categorical Compositional Distributional Model of Meaning | Computationa...

readers across the globe. All articles are published under a CC BY-NC-ND

#### 4.0 license.

For more information on allowed uses, please view the CC license. Support OA at MITP

Methods for Sentiment AnalysisComparison of Various Statistical Alignment ModelsExpansion and Target Extract through Double(436 times)Alignment ModelsTarget Extract through DoubleMaite Taboada et al.(174 times)Propagation (1Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. 267-307et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 29, Issue: 1, pp. 19-51Guang Qiu et Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 9-27	<b>le</b> 147 al.
--	-------------------------

(Note that the Most Cited numbers are based on Crossref's Cited-by service and reflect citation information for the past 24 months.)

Download >
Options
Sign up for
Favorite 
Alerts 
Alerts 
Ownload
Citation 
RSS Citation
Submit your
article

Support OA at MITP 👩



2018/11/23 Concrete Models and Empirical Evaluations for the Categorical Compositional Distributional Model of Meaning | Computationa. Site Help