

Home | Computational Linguistics | List Article navigation of Issues | Volume 41, No. 2 | Lexicalization and Generative Power in CCG



Quarterly (March, June, September, December) 160pp. per issue 6 3/4 x 10 Founded: 1974 2018 Impact Factor: 1.319 2018 Google Scholar h5-index: 32 ISSN: 0891-2017 E-ISSN: 1530-9312

Journal Resources

Editorial Info Abstracting and Indexing Release Schedule Advertising Info

Author Resources

Submission Guidelines Publication Agreement

Lexicalization and Generative Power in CCG

Marco Kuhlmann, <u>Alexander</u> Koller and <u>Giorgio Satta</u>

Posted Online June 17, 2015 https://doi.org/10.1162/COLI a 00219

© 2015 Association for Computational Linguistics

Computational Linguistics Volume 41 | Issue 2 | June 2015 p.215-247

Ownload Options

Abstract Full Text Authors

The weak equivalence of Combinatory Categorial Grammar (CCG) and Tree-Adjoining Grammar (TAG) is a central result of the literature on mildly context-sensitive grammar formalisms. However, the categorial formalism for which this equivalence has been established differs significantly from the versions of CCG that are in use today. In particular, it allows restriction of combinatory rules on a per grammar basis, whereas modern CCG assumes a universal set of rules, isolating all cross-linguistic variation in the lexicon. In this article we Lexicalization and Generative Power in CCG | Computational Linguistics | MIT Press Journals

Author Reprints

Reader Resources

Rights and Permissions Most Read Most Cited

More About Computational Linguistics

Metrics



5 Total citations 4 Recent citations

2.84 Field Citation Ratio n/a Relative **Citation Ratio**

Open Access

Computational б Linguistics Computational Linguistics is **Open Access.** All content is freely available in electronic format (Full text HTML, PDF, and PDF Plus) to readers across the

investigate the formal significance of this difference. Our main result is that lexicalized versions of the classical CCG formalism are strictly less powerful than TAG.

Forthcoming

Most Read

b Lexicon-Based Methods for Sentiment Analysis Deep Learning (13965 times) Maite Taboada et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. 267-307

Computational Linguistics and (10500 times) Christopher D. Manning Computational Linguistics Volume: 41, Issue: 4, pp. 701-707

b Near-Synonymy and Lexical Choice (3653 times) Philip Edmonds et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 28, Issue: 2, pp. 105-144

(Note that the Most Read numbers are based on the number of full text downloads over the last 12 months.)

Most Cited

b Lexicon-Based Methods for Sentiment Analysis Various Statistical (436 times) Maite Taboada et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. 267-307

🏷 A Systematic Comparison of **Alignment Models** (174 times) Franz Josef Och et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 29. Issue: 1. pp. 19-51

opinion Word Expansion and Target Extraction through Double **Propagation** (147 times) Guang Qiu et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 1, pp. 9-27

(Note that the Most Cited numbers are based on Crossref's Cited-by service and reflect citation information for the past 24 months.)

Download >						
Optio	DNS Sian up for					
Favorite ♡	Alerts					
Download Citation _↓	RSS TOC					
RSS Citation	Submit your					

See More

See More

20	LCA		e rower in eeu compara	cional Einguistics mil	fields Journals
	globe. All articles are published under a CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license. For more information on allowed uses, please view the CC license. Support OA at MITP	Support OA at MITP	article		
		Journals	Terms & Conditions	Privacy Statement	Contact Us



Trademark Office. Site Help