Log In | Register | Help

GO

Advanced Searc

Quick Search

Register Now!

Journal of Athletic Training

Home For Journal For Authors For Reviewers For Readers For Subscribers For Students Help

Home > Journal of Athletic Training > May 2009 > Are Eating Disorder Prevention Programs Effective?



Data Extraction: Because of the different clinical questions addressed, each study had specific features that were coded to ease data comparison among studies. Three categories of features were coded: population targeted, length of intervention and follow-up, and intervention strategies. To code for the targeted population, the Gordon (1983) classification system was used, including universal (normal), selective (at-risk), and indicated (symptomatic) populations.

The intervention strategies used in each study were also categorized in the metaanalysis. One category of intervention strategies looked at the amount of information related to eating disorders included in the prevention program. In addition, the authors categorized the intervention strategies as being (1) purely educational, (2) enhanced educational with elements of cognitive-behavioral therapy, or (3) purely interactive cognitive-behavioral therapy with no educational component. The first 2 authors rated and coded the studies independently. Standardized mean difference effect size (d) was calculated from reported means and SDs or was estimated from reported t and F values. Statistics were analyzed using DSTAT 1.10 and Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software programs. Data were analyzed based on the outcome variables of knowledge, general eating abnormalities, dieting, body dissatisfaction, and thin-ideal internalization. These outcome variables were used to evaluate the efficacy of the intervention programs. Each outcome set had weighted mean effect sizes determined, and the variability of the effect sizes was assessed using the homogeneity statistic Q. These were calculated for both the posttest and follow-up results. Homogeneity among effect sizes was the desired outcome, and a positive value indicated a more desirable outcome. The effect sizes were described as small ($d \le .20$), medium (d = .50), or large ($d \ge .80$).

Main Results: A total of 57 studies were identified by the search criteria. Eleven studies were excluded because they provided insufficient data to calculate effect sizes. The final pool included 46 studies (32 published and 14 unpublished). All eating disorder prevention programs produced the largest positive change in participant knowledge (d = .75) without regard to the targeted population. The biggest gains in knowledge occurred right after completion of the prevention program (d = 1.2). During follow-up, the gains in knowledge decreased but still remained higher than knowledge before the program. General eating abnormalities, dieting, and thin-ideal internalization showed small positive changes. Even though the changes were relatively small at posttest for all the outcomes (d = .17 to .21), they seemed to last, because the follow-up studies showed results very similar to those obtained at posttest (d = .13 to .18). Body dissatisfaction was the most frequently measured outcome but had the smallest change. Effect sizes for body dissatisfaction at posttest (d = .13) and at follow-up (d= .07) were not different from zero (95% confidence interval = -0.02, 0.15). Thus, even though small positive trends were noted in participants' body dissatisfaction after the interventions, the measured changes may have been due to measurement error. All outcome variables measured appeared to show improvements; however, most of the effect sizes were small and may not be clinically significant. All outcome variables were also analyzed while comparing the targeted populations. During posttest measurements, targeted at-risk participant groups had more positive scores related to dieting (d = .28) than did the symptomatic (d = .07) and normal (d = -.01) groups. Targeted, symptomatic participant groups showed greater improvement regarding thin-ideal internalization during the posttest (d = .48) than did the at-risk (d = .13) or normal (d = .18) subgroups. At follow-up, the same positive trend was apparent, but the changes were no longer significant. Comparably, the targeted, symptomatic group also showed greater improvement with regard to body dissatisfaction (d = .30) than did the at-risk (d = .11) and normal (d = .08) subgroups during posttest measurements, yet the results were not significant at follow-up. General positive trends were found regarding participant knowledge for symptomatic, at-risk, and normal subgroups, but because of the wide range of results among studies, no decisive interpretations could be made. The third measured variable was intervention strategy used. No differences were noted between educational and enhanced educational interventions concerning dieting behavior at posttest, thinideal internalization at posttest, or body dissatisfaction at posttest or follow-up. No differences were found among groups for the outcome sets related to potential harmful effects resulting from the prevention programs. From these findings, the authors determined that no harmful effects occurred as a result of including educational information about eating disorders in an eating disorder prevention program.

Conclusions: Currently, evidence supports the potential benefits of eating disorder prevention programs for targeted populations, specifically those already demonstrating signs of an eating disorder. Eating disorder prevention programs seem to increase participants' knowledge of eating disorders. Limited evidence indicates small improvements on the behavioral outcome variables, dieting behaviors, and general eating abnormalities for a range of population groups. Knowledge is the outcome variable most affected by eating disorder prevention programs. No evidence indicating that providing educational information about eating disorders causes potentially harmful effects on attitudes or behaviors was found. Specific symptoms that signal an eating disorder were excluded from research assessments, so accurate conclusions regarding the actual prevention programs cannot be made.

Keywords: dieting, nutrition, education

Lisa Langmesser, MS, ATC, contributed to conception and design; acquisition and analysis and interpretation of the data; and drafting, critical revision, and final approval of the article. Susan Verscheure, PhD, ATC, CAT(C), contributed to conception and design, critical revision, and final approval of the article.

Lisa Langmesser, MS, ATC, 1240 University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1240,

Copyright © 2010 Journal of Athletic Training. All Rights Reserved, Worldwid Allen Press, Inc. assists in the online publication of the Journal of Athletic Trainin Technology Partner - Atypon Systems, Inc