



The Impact of Cognitive Demands on Attention to Facial versus Situational Cues When Judging Emotions

PDF (Size:164KB) PP. 727-731 DOI: 10.4236/psych.2011.27111

Author(s)

Joann M. Montepare

ABSTRACT

What information guides how perceivers evaluate people's emotional experiences? Some regard expressive facial cues to be the critical source of information whereas others argue that situational cues exert the decisive impact. This research explored an alternative view suggesting that both information sources are of consequence and that cognitive demands placed on perceivers play a pivotal role in determining what information they use. To test this view, perceivers were given discrepant combinations of facial and situational information about people's emotional experiences and identified what emotions they felt. Facial information influenced perceivers' judgments most often when demands were placed on their cognitive resources. In contrast, situational information had the greatest impact when cognitive demands were minimized. These findings shed light on the debate surrounding the issue of facial versus situational dominance and the process by which emotion judgments are made.

KEYWORDS

Emotion Perception, Facial Cues, Cognitive Demand

Cite this paper

Montepare, J. (2011). The Impact of Cognitive Demands on Attention to Facial versus Situational Cues When Judging Emotions. *Psychology*, 2, 727-731. doi: 10.4236/psych.2011.27111.

References

- [1] Asch, S. E. (1946). Forming impressions of personality. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 41, 258-290. doi:10.1037/h0055756
- [2] Buck, R. (1984). The communication of emotion. New York: Guilford Press.
- [3] Carroll, J. M., & Russell, J. A. (1996). Do facial expression signal specific emotions? Judging emotion from the face in context. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70, 205-218. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.70.2.205
- [4] Darwin, C. (1872). The expression of the emotions in man and animals. London: J. Murray. doi:10.1037/10001-000
- [5] DePaulo, B., & Friedman, H. S. (1998). Nonverbal communication. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 3-34). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [6] Ekman, P. (1992). An argument for basic emotions. *Cognition and Emotion*, 6, 169-200. doi:10.1080/02699939208411068
- [7] Ekman, P., & Friesen, W. V. (1975). Unmasking the face. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- [8] Ekman, P., Friesen, W. V., & Ellsworth, P. (1982). What are the relative contributions of facial behavior and contextual information to the judgment of emotion? In P. Ekman (Ed.), *Emotion in the human face* (pp. 111-127). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [9] Fernandez-Dols, J. M., Sierra, B., & Ruiz-Belda, M. A. (1993). On the clarity of expressive and contextual information in the recognition of emotions: A methodological critique. *European Journal of*

• Open Special Issues

• Published Special Issues

• Special Issues Guideline

PSYCH Subscription

Most popular papers in PSYCH

About PSYCH News

Frequently Asked Questions

Recommend to Peers

Recommend to Library

Contact Us

Downloads: 247,356

Visits: 543,402

Sponsors >>

- [10] Fernandez-Dols, J. M., Wallbott, H., & Sanchez, F. (1991). Emotion category accessibility and the decoding of emotion from facial expression and context. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*, 15, 107-123. doi:10.1007/BF00998266
- [11] Fridlund, A. J. (1994). Human facial expression: An evolutionary view. San Diego: Academic Press.
- [12] Gilbert, D. T., & Krull, D. S. (1988). Seeing less and knowing more: The benefits of perceptual ignorance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 193-201. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.54.2.193
- [13] Gilbert, D. T., Pelham, B. W., & Krull, D. S. (1988). On cognitive busyness: When person perceivers meet persons perceived. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 733-740. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.54.5.733
- [14] Goodenough, F. L., & Tinker, M. A. (1931). The relative potency of facial expression and verbal description of stimulus in the judgment of emotion. *Comparative Psychology*, 12, 365-370. doi:10.1037/h0071381
- [15] Knapp, M. L., & Hall, J. A. (2007). Nonverbal communication in human interaction (5th ed.) Wadsworth: Thomas Learning.
- [16] Izard, C. E. (1994). Innate and universal facial expressions: Evidence from developmental and cross-cultural research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 115, 288-299. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.115.2.288
- [17] Nakamura, M., Buck, R., & Kenny, D. A. (1990). Relative contribution of expressive behavior and contextual information to the judgment of the emotional state of another. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59, 1032-1103. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.59.5.1032
- [18] Matsumoto, D., Yoo, S., Hirayama, S., & Petrova, G. (2005). Development and validation of a measure of display rule knowledge: The display rule assessment inventory. *Emotion*, 5, 23-40. doi:10.1037/1528-3542.5.1.23