

Conferences News About Us Home Journals Books Jobs Home > Journal > Social Sciences & Humanities > PSYCH Open Special Issues Indexing View Papers Aims & Scope Editorial Board Guideline Article Processing Charges Published Special Issues PSYCH> Vol.2 No.8, November 2011 • Special Issues Guideline OPEN ACCESS **PSYCH Subscription** Social Cognitive Neuroscience Perspective for Unification Psychology Most popular papers in PSYCH PDF (Size: 134KB) PP. 841-845 DOI: 10.4236/psych.2011.28128 **About PSYCH News** Author(s) Wangbing Shen, Chang Liu, Yuan Yuan Frequently Asked Questions **ABSTRACT** As a direct part of these disciplines which focuses on human's soul and mind, psychology has a history of Recommend to Peers more than one hundred years. However, there are still many people who have lots of doubts for psychology, especially on the division and integration of psychology which dates back to Spence (1987). Recommend to Library Many studies in the past have sought for many paradigms to integrate psychology, but they failed, such as cognitive psychology paradigm. One challenge to the traditional perspective comes from works of social Contact Us cognitive neuroscience. More and more specific studies showed that social cognitive neuroscience can integrate psychological science. Therefore, increasing psychologists, including theoretical psychologists focus their sights on social cognitive neuroscience. The article gave an overview analysis to those problems, Downloads: 247,372 including the integration from social cognitive neuroscience is possible and its prospect framework of integration Visits: 544,149 **KEYWORDS** Social Cognitive Neuroscience, Psychology, Humanity Hypothesis, P-DNA Model Sponsors >> Cite this paper Shen, W., Liu, C. & Yuan, Y. (2011). Social Cognitive Neuroscience Perspective for Unification Psychology. Psychology, 2, 841-845. doi: 10.4236/psych.2011.28128. References Blakemore, S., J., Winston, J., Frith, U. (2004). Social cognitive neuroscience: where are we heading? Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 8(5), 216-222.

- [1]
- [2] Chaiken, S. & Trope, Y. (1999). Dual process theories in social psychology. New York: Guilford Press.
- [3] Cacioppo, J., T, Berntson, G., G, Sheridan, J., F. & McClintock, M., K. (2000). Multilevel integrative analysis of human behavior social neuroscience and the complementing nature of social and biological approaches. Psychological Bulletin, 126(6), 829-843.
- [4] Cacioppo, J., T, Amaral, D., G, Blanchard, J., J, Cameron, J., L, Carter, C., S, Crews, D, et al. (2007). Social Neuroscience: Progress and Implications for Mental Health. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2(2), 99-123.
- [5] Greene, J., D., Sommerville, R., B., Nystrom, L., E., Darley, J., M., & Cohen, J., D. (2001). An fMRI investigation of emotional engagement in moral judgment. Science, 293(14), 2105-2108.
- [6] Greene, J., D., Nystrom, L., E., Engell, A., D., Darley, J., M., Cohen, J., D. (2004). The neural bases of cognitive conflict and control in moral judgment. Neuron, 44, 389-400.
- [7] Greene, J., D., Morelli, S., A., Lowenberg, K., Nystrom, L., E., Cohen, J., D. (2008). Cognitive load selectively interferes with utilitarian moral judgment. Cognition, 107, 1144-1154.
- [8] Haidt, J. (2001). The emotional dog and its rational tail: A social intuitionist approach to moral judgment. Psychological Review, 108(4), 814-834.
- Moll, J., Eslinger, P. J., de Oliveira-Souza, R. (2001). Frontopolar and anterior temporal cortex

- activation in a moral judgment task—Preliminary functional MRI results in normal subjects. Arq Neurosiquiatr, 59(3-B), 657-664.
- [10] Moll, J., Roland Zahn, R. de Oliveira-Souza, Bramati, I. E.& Grafman, J. (2002). Functional networks in emotion moral and nonmoral social judgments. NeuroImage, 16, 696-703.
- [11] Koenigs, M., Young, L., Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., Cushman, F., Hauser, M., et al. (2007a). Damage to the prefrontal cortex increases utilitarian moral judgments. Nature, 446, 908-911.
- [12] Koenigs, M. & Tranel D. (2007b). Irational economic decision-making after ventromedial prefrontal damage: evidence from the ultimatums game. Journal of Neuroscience, 27, 951-956.
- [13] Oschner, K., N. & Lieberman, M., D. (2001). The emergence of social cognitive neuroscience. American Psychologist.56, 717-734.
- [14] Shen, W. B. & Liu, C. (2010). Moral judgment: Rational processing or irrational processing? A perspective from cognitive science. Journal of Psychological Science, 33(4), 807-810.
- [15] Spence, J. (1987). Centrifugal versus centripetal tendencies in psychology: will the center hold? American Psychologist, 42, 1052- 1054.
- [16] Watson J., D. & Crick F., H., C. (1953). A structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid. Nature, 171(3), 737-738. 4-6, 2006, pp. 289-295.

Home | About SCIRP | Sitemap | Contact Us

Copyright © 2006-2013 Scientific Research Publishing Inc. All rights reserved.