



Conferences News About Us Job: Home Journals Books Home > Journal > Social Sciences & Humanities > PSYCH Open Special Issues Indexing View Papers Aims & Scope Editorial Board Guideline Article Processing Charges Published Special Issues PSYCH > Vol.3 No.11, November 2012 • Special Issues Guideline OPEN ACCESS **PSYCH Subscription** Individual Differences in First and Fourth Year College Women's Short Term Mating Strategy Preferences and Most popular papers in PSYCH PDF (Size: 79KB) PP. 966-973 DOI: 10.4236/psych.2012.311145 **About PSYCH News** Author(s) Margaret J. Cohen, T. Joel Wade Frequently Asked Questions **ABSTRACT** Using survey methodology, a cross sectional study was undertaken to ascertain whether first and fourth Recommend to Peers year college women have different perceptions and behavior associated with short term mating preferences. It was hypothesized that after incurring significant negative or costly experiences associated Recommend to Library with hooking up, fourth year women would prefer men who had qualities associated with a desired long term partner as opposed to characteristics associated with short term mating partners. The results were Contact Us partially consistent with the hypothesis. Reported preferences in a desired partner and perspective on hooking up differ between first and fourth year groups. No difference was found between frequency and willingness to hookup between the two groups. The findings are explained in terms of evolutionary theory, Downloads: 247,354 social exchange theory, and sexual script concepts. Visits: 543,360 **KEYWORDS** Mating Strategy; Preferences; Mating Costs Sponsors >> Cite this paper Cohen, M. & Wade, T. (2012). Individual Differences in First and Fourth Year College Women's Short Term

Mating Strategy Preferences and. Psychology, 3, 966-973. doi: 10.4236/psych.2012.311145.

References

- Abelson, R. P. (1981). Psychological status of the script concept. American Psychologist, 36, 715-729. [1] doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.36.7.715
- [2] Bogle, K. A. (2008). Hooking up: Sex, dating and relationships on campus. New York: New York University Press.
- [3] Boswell, A. A., & Spade, J. Z. (1996). Fraternities and collegiate rape culture: Why are some fraternities more dangerous places for women? Gender and Society, 10, 133-147. doi: 10.1177/089124396010002003
- Buss, D. M. (1989). Sex differences in human mate Preferences: Evolutionary hypothesis tested in 37 [4] cultures. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 12, 1-49. doi:10.1017/S0140525X00023992
- Buss, D. M., & Schmitt, D. P. (1993). Sexual strategies theory: An evolutionary perspective on human [5] mating. Psychological Review, 100, 204-232. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.100.2.204
- [6] Buss, D. M. (1998). Sexual strategies theory: Historical origins and current status. The Journal of Sex Research, 35, 19-31. doi:10.1080/00224499809551914
- [7] Crawford, C. B., & Anderson, J. L. (1989). Sociobiology: An environmentalist discipline? American Psychologist, 44, 1449-1459. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.44.12.1449
- Costanzo, P. R., & Shaw, M. E. (1966). Conformity as a function of age level. Child Development, 37, [8] 967-975. doi: 10.2307/1126618
- [9] Emerson, R. M. (1976). Social exchange theory. Annual Review of Sociology, 2, 335-362. doi: 10.1146/annurev.so.02.080176.002003

- [10] Flack, W. F., Daubman, K. A., Caron, M., Asadorian, J., D' Aureli, N., Hall, A., Gigliotti, S., Michener, E., & Wheeler, E. (2007). Risk factors and consequences of unwanted sex among University students. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 22, 139-157. doi:10.1177/0886260506295354
- [11] Frith, H., & Kitzinger, C. (2001). Reformulation sexual script theory: Developing a discursive psychology of sexual negotiation. Theory Psychology, 11, 209-232. doi:10.1177/0959354301112004
- [12] Gangestad, S. W., & Simpson, J. A. (2000). The evolution of human mating: Trade-offs and strategic pluralism. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 23, 573-644. doi:10.1017/S0140525X0000337X
- [13] Garcia, J. R., & Reiber, C. (2008). Hook-up behavior: A biopsychosocial perspective. Journal of Social, Evolutionary, and Cultural Psychology, 192-208.
- [14] Glenn, N., & Marquardt, E. (2001). Hooking up, hanging out, and hoping for Mr. Right. New York: Institute for American Values.
- [15] Homans, G. C. (1958). Social behavior as exchange. The American Journal of Sociology, 63, 597-606. doi:10.1086/222355
- [16] Kenrick, D. T., Groth, G. E., Trost, M. R., & Sadalla, E. K. (1993). Integrating evolutionary and social exchange perspectives on relationships: Effects of gender, self-appraisal, and involvement level on mate selection criteria. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 64, 951-969. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.64.6.951
- [17] Lambert, T. A., Kahn, A. S., & Apple, K. J. (2003). Pluralistic ignorance and hooking up. The Journal of Sex Research, 40, 129-133. doi:10.1080/00224490309552174