

***	Open Access				
Но	ome Journals Boo	ks Conferences	News	About Us	Jobs
★ Home > Journal > Social Sciences & Humanities > CE				Open Special Issues	
Indexing View Papers Aims & Scope Editorial Board Guideline Article Processing Charges			Published Special Issues		
CE> Vol.3 No.1, February 2012			Special Issues Guideline		
Assessing Clinical and Academic Performance in a Master's Level			CE Subscription		
Speech Language Pathology Program: A Path Analysis			Most popular papers in CE		
PDF (Size: 192KB) PP. 145-148 DOI: 10.4236/ce.2012.31023			About CE News		
Author(s) Margaret M. Kjelgaard, Anthony J. Guarino ABSTRACT This study assessed a path model to determine the direct and indirect effects on clinical and academic success from students' undergraduate and graduate performance. Astin' s I-E-O model served as the theoretical foundation for the hypothesized model. Input (I) data included students' undergraduate information (GPA, major, and GRE scores); Environment (E) data included grades in required graduate courses and formative clinical evaluation; and Output (O) data included PRAXIS score and summative clinical evaluation. The sample was 122 students who completed a SLP Program at a New England graduate			Frequently Asked Questions		
			December 14 December 1		
			Recommend to Peers		
			Recommend to Library		
			Contact Us		
school. Results of the path analysis supported the efficacy of the proposed model in determining the direct and indirect effects on professional competence. Graduate students with an undergraduate degree in SLP were at a disadvantage upon entry to the program relative to students from other undergraduate majors.		e degree in SLP	Downloads:	166,678	
Implications of the study are discussed.			Visits:	373,153	
KEYWORDS Graduate Program; Speech Language Pathology; Path Analysis; Learning Outcomes; Astin's I-E-O Model			Sponsors >>		
Cite this paper Kjelgaard, M. & Guarino, A. (2012). Assessing Clinical and Academic Performance in a Master's Level Speech Language Pathology Program: A Path Analysis. <i>Creative Education</i> , 3, 145-148. doi: 10.4236/ce.2012.31023.		The Conference on Information Technology in Education (CITE 2012)			
[1]	eferences Astin, A. (1991). Assessment for excellence: The philosophy and practice of assessment and evaluation in higher education. New York: Macmillan.		ssessment and		
[2]	Astin, A. (1993). What matters in college? Four c	critical years revisited. San Francisco:	Jossey-Bass.		
	Bean, J. (1983). The application of a model of tu process. The Review of Higher Education, 6, 129	· ·	tudent attrition		
[4] E	Educational Testing Service (2009). The Praxis Se	eries. Princeton, NJ: McGraw-Hill.			
ķ	Forrest, K., & Naremore, R. C. (1998). Analysis o pathology: Predictive utility of application r Pathology, 7, 57-61.				
	Kuncel, N. R., & Hezlett, S. A. (2007). Stand Science, 315, 1080-1081. doi:10.1126/science.1	·	ents' success.		
	Rosovsky, H., & Hartley, M. (2002). Evaluation Cambridge, MA: American Academy of Arts and S	•	he right thing?		

success in the graduate training of psychologists? A case study. American Psychologist, 52, 630-641. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.52.6.630

Sacks, P. (1999). Standardized Minds. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books.

Sternberg, R. J., & Williams, W. M. (1997). Does the graduate record examination predict meaningful

[8]

[9]

- [10] Tinto, V. (1993). Leaving college: Rethinking the causes and cures of student attrition. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- [11] Zwick, R. (2002). Fair game? The use of standardized admissions in higher education. New York: RoutledgeFalmer.

Home | About SCIRP | Sitemap | Contact Us

Copyright © 2006-2013 Scientific Research Publishing Inc. All rights reserved.