本期目录 | 下期目录 | 过刊浏览 | 高级检索

[打印本页] [关闭]

论文

话语与文化遗产的本土意义建构----- 支人类学研究团队的学理线索 吴宗杰

[全文pdf下载](1262KB)

摘要:

由于文化遗产实践长期以来受制于西方话语,虽然近年来国际上开始关注不同文化的遗产观,但体现本土传统的遗产实践方式尚未在中国被深度关注和开发。中国有"崇古"文化,虽没有现代遗产概念,但对过去及先人遗迹的理解是极为深刻的。历代史、志、谱、牒包含了形式多元、内容丰富和极具人文精神的遗产价值观,是今天全球"遗产运动"不曾涉及的。"衢州水亭门街区文化遗产研究"和"东坞山村文化研究"试图通过挖掘本土话语,重建文化遗产的本土价值观和意义产生方式,让文化遗产的认定和保护从标志性、分类式、项目式途径中走出来,借鉴传统历史书写方式,探索以"行事"为"深切著明"的遗产意义彰显风格;也试图超越"物质原真性"、"民族主义"、"地方主义"、"怀旧情结"、"文化资本"等话语,使遗产实践体现"通古今之变、究天人之际"及"民德归厚"等史学精神。

关键词: 文化遗产 话语 本土 原真性 儒家

Recovering Indigenous Discourse of Cultural Heritage : A Chinese Challenge to Western Value of Heritage

Wu Zongjie

Abstract:

Defining what is valued as "heritage" in the complexities of Chinese historical "neighborhoods" has been a challenging task in line with current criteria of heritage preservation in China. The idea of what constitutes heritage in the government and experts' documentation is very much dominated by Western heritage discourse that tends to deny indigenous values of heritage by favoring a high culture of "monuments," "authenticity," immortality, and the West. As a result, "mundane" architecture, temples, historical sites and cultural practices associated with unrecognized traditional values, either material or intangible, are endangered by the processes of globalization and urbanization. The study attempts to explore a non-Western approach to the study of Chinese heritage based on two cases of heritage recovering projects-the Shuitingmen Neighbourhood in Quzhou City and Dongwushan Village in Fuyang.Quzhou,renowned for its rich cultural remains and its Southern Confucian Lineage, is included in the list of National Historical and Cultural Cities by the central government of China. The project is focused on the investigation of local neighborhood culture and the interpretation of oral histories and local gazetteers compiled by Confucius scholars in the past. This gives us a window to see how ancient Chinese made sense of heritage, place and the past, and how to value and utilize it in the present. The paper contends that they usually saw heritage as a means to secure sustainability of present enterprises by making judgments through the perspective of the past. For them, heritage was a carrier of virtue, and could be a site, a tree, or even glasses on the site. As long as people in the present value it with admiration, it becomes a site of heritage. Thus it is the discourse that is capable of activating a sense of virtue instead of the materiality of the past per se that is considered essential to the recovery of heritage and its meanings. Confucian scholars developed a unique discourse of "authenticity" in their recording of cultural heritage, which is usually termed as "cut-and-paste method." The discourse, on the one hand, maintains the authenticity of source materials in terms of text, but on the other hand, embeds the authors' historical inquiry for "understanding the boundaries between heaven and man (or the relation between nature and the human-made), and the transformation of ancient and modern times." In the ancient Chinese perspective, what constitute the "meaning" of heritage are historical activities tied to the site, describable in the subtle textualization of "living and doing" which cannot be conceptualized in forms of abstract terms, either metaphysical or scientific. This marks a difference from contemporary heritage practice in China where heritage is usually represented through theoretical statements imbued with judgmental language, analytical categories, and scientific thesis. Confucius explains the style of

扩展功能

本文信息

- ▶ Supporting info
- PDF(1262KB)
- ▶ [HTML全文]
- ▶参考文献

服务与反馈

- ▶把本文推荐给朋友
- ▶加入我的书架
- ▶加入引用管理器
- ▶引用本文
- ▶ Email Alert
- ▶ 文章反馈
- ▶浏览反馈信息

本文关键词相关文章

文化遗产 话语 本土 原真性 儒家

木文作者相关文章

historical discourse: "I would rather reveal the world in its depth and clarity through subtle descriptions of actual events rather than carry my thought in empty words." Enlightened by ancient Chinese Sages, we embark on developing an indigenous, non-Western approach to the meaning-making of cultural heritage. The paper demonstrates an instance of heritage description about a family temple site where no authentic materials from the past are identifiable. The narrative is constructed using heterogeneous forms of fragmented texts, such as site description, memory tales, tourism brochures, records in local gazetteers, genealogy family books, Chinese classics, etc., each being seen as an epitome of discourse, a picture of the past. Confucian strategy of "cut-and-paste" is employed to weave fragments together readably in a morally concerned order. The narrative maintains rigidity and authenticity in text composition, and also opens up the creativity, multiplicity and fluidity in terms of meaning-making possibilities. In so doing, the boundaries between material and non-material heritage, and that of monumental and mundane, and authentic or inauthentic are blurred in order to seek out the past while actively embracing the present. The implications of this inquiry may move us beyond the Authorized Heritage Discourse, opening up cultural space to not only recognize competing heritage discourses, but also to engage in different ways of making "heritage." Moreover, it may reclaim an ancient heritage discourse which allows the past to shed light on the present holistically in the linguistic fabric of authentic description.

Keywords: cultural heritage discourse indigeneity authenticity Confucianism

收稿日期 修回日期 网络版发布日期

DOI:

基金项目:

通讯作者:

作者简介:

参考文献:

本刊中的类似文章

Copyright 2008 by 浙江大学学报(人文社会科学版)