

中国社会学网 CHINESE SOCIOLOGY

中国社会学网 > 学术期刊 > The British Journal of Sociology

Parasites, pawns and partners: disability research and the role of non-disabled researchers

Emma Stone and Mark Priestley

Volume 47 No 4 December 1996

Pages 699-716

Important methodological questions are raised by the act of researching disablement. Disability research has attracted much methodological criticism from disabled people who argue that it has taken place within an oppressive theoretical paradigm and within an oppressive set of social relations. These issues are of heightened significance for non-disabled researchers and bear many similarities to those faced by researchers investigating barriers to the social inclusion of women, Black and 'Third World' peoples. Such challenges have led to the development of an 'emancipatory' research paradigm. Six principles of emancipatory research are identified and the authors' own research projects are critically examined within this framework. A number of contradictions are identified and an attempt made to balance the twin requirements of political action and academic rigour.

Emma Stone and Mark Priestley

Disability Research Unit

University of Leeds

文档附件:

编辑: Mo Dongjiang 文章来源:

版权所有: 中国社会科学院社会学研究所 E-mail:ios@cass.org.cn 欢迎转载,敬请注明:转载自《中国社会学网》[http://www.sociology.cass.cn]