Conference on Psychology and

Social Harmony (CPSH 2014),

May 15-16, 2014, Suzhou, China



Books Conferences News About Us Jobs Home Journals Home > Journal > Social Sciences & Humanities > SM SM Subscription Indexing View Papers Aims & Scope Editorial Board Guideline Article Processing Charges Free Newsletter Subscription SM> Vol.3 No.2, April 2013 Most popular papers in SM Open Access **Publication Ethics Statement** Ex Ante and ex Post Voting Power: A Method for Calculating Party Power in Party Government About SM News PDF (Size: 174KB) PP. 149-155 DOI: 10.4236/sm.2013.32022 Frequently Asked Questions Author(s) Jan-Erik Lane, Alexander M. Preker Recommend to Peers **ABSTRACT** As political power tends to be wielded in the form of voting power in the national assembly, especially under Recommend to Library the institutions of party government, one needs a method to calculate the voting power of political parties, both longitudinally and for a cross-section of European democracies. This paper suggests such a method, Contact Us derived from the power index approach in cooperative game theory. The application of the method on the history of democracy in the two German nations results in party scores-mandates, ex ante and ex post voting power-that are much in congruence with the standard interpretations of the interwar period and Downloads: 84,968 the post-war politics in these two countries. Visits: 283,458 **KEYWORDS** Party Government; Government Coalitions and Coalitions in the Legislature; Ex Ante and ex Post Voting Power; Penrose-Banzhaf-Coleman Framework; Banzhaf Numbers or Scores; Double Banzhaf Games; Party Sponsors, Associates, and Government in the Two German Speaking Nations Links >>

Cite this paper

Lane, J. & Preker, A. (2013). Ex Ante and ex Post Voting Power: A Method for Calculating Party Power in Party Government. Sociology Mind, 3, 149-155. doi: 10.4236/sm.2013.32022.

References

- [1] Banzhaf III, J. F. (1965). Weighted voting doesn' t work: A mathematical analysis. Rutgers Law Review, 19, 317-343.
- [2] Coleman, J. S. (1971). Control of collectivities and the power of a collectivity to act. In B. Lieberman (Ed.), Social choice (pp. 269-300). Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach.
- [3] Felsenthal, D. S., & Machover, M. (1998). The measurement of voting power. Theory and practice, problems and paradoxes. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- [4] Felsenthal, D. S., & Machover, M. (2002). Annexations and alliances: When are blocs advantageous a priori? Social Choice and Welfare, 19, 295-312. doi: 10.1007/s003550100115
- [5] Felsenthal, D. S., & Machover, M. (2004). A priori voting power: What is it all about? Political Studies Review, 2, 1-23. doi:10.1111/j.1478-9299.2004.00001.x
- [6] Felsenthal, D. S. and Machover, M. (2005). Voting power measurement: A story of misreinvention. Social Choice and Welfare, 25, 485-506. doi:10.1007/s00355-005-0015-9
- [7] Keman, H. (1994). The search for the centre: Pivot parties in West European party systems. West European Politics, 17, 124-148. doi:10.1080/01402389408425046
- [8] Kircheimer, O. (1965). The change of the Western German party system. Politische Vierteljahresschrift, 6, 12-40.
- [9] Korte, K.-R., & Frohlich, M. (2004). Politics and governance in Germany. Structures, processes, decisions. Paderborn: Ferdinand Schoningh.

- [10] Pelinka, A. (2002). The FPO in comparative party sciences. On the typological classification of the FPO. Osterreichische Zeitschrift für Politikwissenschaft, 31, 281-290.
 - [11] Pelinka, A. (2004). The political system of Austria. In W. Ismayr (Ed.), The political systems of Western Europe (521-552). Wiesbaden: VSVerlag.
- [12] Poguntke, T. (1999). Winner takes all: The FDP in 1982-1983: Maximizing votes, office, and policy? In W. C. Müller, & K. Strom (Eds.), Policy, office or votes? How political parties in Western Europe make hard decisions (pp. 216-236). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Home | About SCIRP | Sitemap | Contact Us

Copyright © 2006-2013 Scientific Research Publishing Inc. All rights reserved.