

论文

黄土高原草地植被与土壤固碳量研究

程积民^{1,2}, 程杰², 杨晓梅¹

- 1. 西北农林科技大学 动物科技学院, 陕西 杨凌 712100;
- 2. 中国科学院、水利部 水土保持研究所 黄土高原土壤侵蚀与旱地农业国家重点实验室, 陕西 杨凌 712100

摘要:

在黄土高原自东南向西北,采用样带多点调查与定位监测相结合的研究方法,系统分析了不同草地类型封禁初期和封禁11 a草地生物量与固碳量变化特征。结果表明:4种草地类型地上活体植物、凋落物/地下活体根系和土壤中碳密度与碳储量分布规律均为森林草原>梁塬典型草原>丘陵典型草原>荒漠草原;草地封禁11 a,地上活体植物、凋落物、0~100 cm活体根系和土壤中碳密度总量,森林草原类型为63.38~97.65 t·hm⁻²,梁塬典型草原类型为49.04~68.80 t·hm⁻²,丘陵典型草原类型为52.33~62.11 t·hm⁻²,荒漠草原类型为11.93~19.62 t·hm⁻²;碳储量4种草地类型分别为230.287 7 Tg C、332.306 7 Tg C、484.055 5 Tg C和113.856 3 Tg C;黄土高原草地总固碳量为573.10 Tg C,其中:活体植物为42.89 Tg C,占总固碳量的7.48%;凋落物为80.40 Tg C,占14.03%;活体根系为108.66 Tg C,占18.96%;土壤为341.15 Tg C,占59.53%。这充分表明,封禁不仅能使草地植被快速恢复和生物量增加,而且也是提高草地固碳潜力的一条重要途径。

关键词: 黄土高原 天然草地 固碳量 碳密度

Grassland Vegetation and Soil Carbon Sequestration in the Loess Plateau

CHENG Ji-min^{1,2}, CHENG Jie², YANG Xiao-mei¹

- 1. College of Animal Sciences of Northwest A & F University, Yangling 712100, China;
- 2. State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on Loess Plateau, Institute of Soil and Water Conservation of Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Water Resources, Yangling 712100, China

Abstract:

The features of biomass and carbon sequestration were studied based on multi-point transect survey and combined with positioning monitoring methods for different type of grasslands from southeast to northwest in the Loess Plateau. The data were obtained for the pre- and post- 11-year fencing. Results showed that carbon density and storage were decreased from southeast to northwest with an exponentially trend among four-type grasslands. In each type of grassland (aboveground living plants, litter/underground of soil root and soil), the characteristics of distribution of carbon density and storage presented a tendency: forest steppe>plateau steppe>hilly steppe>desert steppe. Grassland carbon density and storage, which included living plants, litter, 0—100cm depth of soil root and soil, were 63.38—97.65 t·hm⁻² and 230.2877 Tg C for forest steppe, 49.04—68.80 t·hm⁻² and 332.3067 Tg C for plateau steppe, 52.33—62.11 t·hm⁻² and 484.0555 Tg C for hilly steppe and 11.93—19.62 t·hm⁻² and 113.8563 Tg C for desert steppe respectively, after 11-year fencing. The total carbon sequestration of grasslands was 573.10 Tg C after 11-year fencing on the Loess Plateau. Among which, living plant was 42.89 Tg C (7.48% of the total carbon sequestration), litter was 80.40 Tg C (14.03%), living root was 108.66 Tg C (18.96%), and soil was 341.15 Tg C (59.53%). In conclusion, our results demonstrated that grassland fencing can not only restore vegetation and increase biomass, but can also significantly improve grassland carbon sequestration potential.

Keywords: Loess Plateau natural grassland carbon sequestration carbon density

收稿日期 2010-06-08 修回日期 2010-09-21 网络版发布日期

DOI:

基金项目:

国家重点实验室基金(10502-Z8)资助项目;中国科学院重要方向项目(KZCX2-YW-441、KZCX2-YW-149);国家重点基础研究发展计划973项目(2007CB106803);国家自然科学基金重点项目(40730631)。

通讯作者:

作者简介:

扩展功能

本文信息

- Supporting info
- PDF(1KB)
- HTML
- 参考文献

服务与反馈

- 把本文推荐给朋友
- 加入我的书架
- 加入引用管理器
- 引用本文
- Email Alert
- 文章反馈
- 浏览反馈信息

本文关键词相关文章

- 黄土高原
- 天然草地
- 固碳量
- 碳密度

本文作者相关文章

参考文献:

- [1] Parton W J, Schimel D S, Cole C V, *et al.* Analysis of factors controlling soil organic matter levels in Great Plains grassland [J]. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 1987, 51(5): 1173-1179. [2] 齐玉春, 董云社, 耿元波, 等. 我国草地生态系统碳循环研究进展[J]. 地理科学进展, 2003, 22(4): 342-352. [3] Schlesinger W H. Evidence from chronosequence studies for a low carbon-storage potential of soils [J]. *Nature*, 1990, 348(6298): 232-234. [4] 李凌浩, 陈佐忠. 草地生态系统碳循环及其对全球变化的响应I. 碳循环的分室模型、碳输入与贮存[J]. 植物学通报, 1998, 15(2): 14-22. [5] 朴世龙, 方精云, 贺金生, 等. 中国草地植被生物量及其空间分布格局[J]. 植物生态学报, 2004, 28: 491-498. [6] 常瑞英, 唐海萍. 草原固碳量估算方法及其敏感性分析[J]. 植物生态学报, 2008, 32(4): 810-814. [7] 吕超群, 孙书存. 陆地生态系统碳密度格局研究概述[J]. 植物生态学报, 2004, 28(5): 692-703. [8] Ni J. Carbon storage in terrestrial ecosystem of China: Estimates at different spatial resolutions and their responses to climate change [J]. *Climatic Change*, 2001, 49: 339-358. [9] 钟华平, 樊江文, 于贵瑞, 等. 草地生态系统碳蓄积的研究进展[J]. 草业科学, 2005, 22(1): 4-11. [10] Li L, Chen Z. Changes in soil carbon storage due to over-grazing in *Leymus chinensis* steppe in the Xilin River basin of Inner Mongolia [J]. *Journal of Environmental Science*, 1997, 9: 486-490. [11] 苏永中, 赵哈林. 持续放牧和围封对科尔沁退化沙地草地碳截存的影响[J]. 环境科学, 2003, 24(4): 23-28. [12] Don A, Schulze E D. Controls on fluxes and export of dissolved organic carbon in grasslands with contrasting soil type s[J]. *Biogeochemistry*, 2008, 91(2/3): 117-131. [13] IPCC. Land-use, Landuse Change, and Forestry [M]. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000. [14] Scurlock J M O, Hall D O. The global carbon sink: A grassland perspective [J]. *Global Change Biology*, 1998, 4: 229-233. [15] Mokany K, Raison R J, Prokushkin A S. Critical analysis of root: Shoot ratios in terrestrial biomes [J]. *Global Change Biology*, 2005, 11: 1-13. [16] 李博, 雍世鹏, 李瑶, 等. 中国的草原[M]. 北京: 科学出版社, 1990: 213-218. [17] 方精云, 杨元合, 马文红, 等. 中国草地生态系统碳库及其变化[J]. 中国科学: 生命科学, 2010, 40(7): 566-576. [18] 马文红, 韩梅, 林鑫, 等. 内蒙古温带草地植被的碳储量[J]. 干旱区资源与环境, 2006, 20(3): 192-195. [19] 彭少麟, 李跃林, 任海, 等. 全球变化条件下的土壤呼吸效应[J]. 地球科学进展, 2002, 17(5): 705-713. [20] McGuire A D, Melillo J M, Kicklighter D W, *et al.* Equilibrium responses of soil carbon to climate change: Empirical and process based estimates [J]. *Journal of Biogeography*, 1995, 22: 785-796. [21] 李玉文, 王楠, 孙玥. CO₂ 体积分数升高条件下森林土壤的碳循环[J]. 东北林业大学学报, 2007, 35(7): 64-73. [22] 程积民, 万惠娥. 中国黄土高原植被建设与水土保持[M]. 北京: 中国林业出版社, 2002. [23] 胡建忠. 黄河上游退耕地人工林的碳储量研究[J]. 北京林业大学学报, 2005, 27(6): 1-8.

本刊中的类似文章

文章评论 (请注意: 本站实行文责自负, 请不要发表与学术无关的内容! 评论内容不代表本站观点.)

反馈人	<input type="text"/>	邮箱地址	<input type="text"/>
反馈标题	<input type="text"/>	验证码	<input type="text"/> 3645