

## 完全可約的群及環之構造

柳孟輝

(北京大學)

**摘要:** 令  $G$  表一具有算子域  $\Omega$  的羣而  $\Omega$  假定至少包含所有  $G$  的內同構。

$G$  稱爲其子羣系  $\{I\}$  的直積, 若  $G$  的每一元素  $a$  可一意地表爲乘積  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_n$  而不等的  $a_i$  分別屬於  $\{I\}$  中不同的  $I_i$ .

$G$  的任一子羣  $I$  稱爲不可約的, 若除其本身與單位羣外  $I$  不再含有  $G$  的子羣。

$G$  稱爲完全可約羣若對於  $G$  的任一子羣  $N$  恒有一子羣  $N'$  使  $G$  爲  $N$  及  $N'$  的直積。

首先我們證明了下面的主要定理:

一完全可約羣爲不可約子羣的直積。

其次, 將上面的結果應用於環。

一環  $R$  可看作一以其自身爲左乘 (或右乘) 算子域的加羣。若此加羣爲完全可約羣, 則稱  $R$  爲左邊 (或右邊) 完全可約環。此加羣的不可約子羣即爲  $R$  的最小左 (或右) 理想集合, 遂有定理。

一左邊 (或右邊) 完全可約環爲其最小左 (或右) 理想集合的直和。

所謂環  $R$  的根基  $\bar{R}$  即爲所有某次羣後爲零的左理想集合的和。對於一左邊完全可約環的根基且有如下的定理。

一左邊完全可約環  $R$  的根基  $\bar{R}$  有下列性質:

i)  $\bar{R}^2 = 0$ .

ii)  $\bar{R}R = 0$ .

iii) 設  $l$  爲  $R$  的任一非零最小左理想集合且含於  $\bar{R}$  內者。於是, 若  $Rl = 0$ , 則  $l$  由一元素  $x$  之倍數  $x, 2x, \dots, px (= 0)$  所組成, 而  $p$  爲一質數; 若  $Rl \neq 0$ , 則  $l = l'x, x \in \bar{R}$  而  $l'$  爲  $R$  的某一最小左理想集合。

至此即可論其根基爲零的左邊完全可約環。此種環特稱爲半簡單環。若任一左邊完全可約環除其本身及  $0$  外不再含有兩邊理想集合, 則稱爲簡單環。固然若一簡單環  $R$  有  $R^2 \neq 0$  則爲一半簡單環。反之我們可證。

任一半簡單環爲簡單環之直和且爲唯一的。

且有

任一半簡單環亦爲右邊完全可約環。

# STRUCTURE OF COMPLETELY REDUCIBLE GROUPS AND RINGS

BY MENG-HUI LIU

*Peking University*

Let  $C$  be a group with an operator domain. All subgroups in consideration will be admissible and normal.  $G$  is said to be a completely reducible group (we shall use the abbreviation C. R. group) if to every subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ , there is another subgroup  $N'$  of  $G$ , such that  $G$  is the direct product of  $N$  and  $N'$ . Assuming one of the chain conditions, Jacobson [1] has proved that a C. R. group is a direct product of a finite number of irreducible subgroups. In this paper, we shall investigate the structure of a C. R. group without using either of the chain conditions and we have established the fact that a C. R. group is always a direct product of a finite number or an infinite number of irreducible subgroups. By this, we have also obtained a generalization of Wedderburn-Artin's theory of rings without minimal condition.

Here  $I$  must express my best thanks to Professors  $H. J.$  Chang (張禾瑞) and  $S.$  Wang (王湘浩) and Mr.  $L. C.$  Nieh (聶靈沼). It is due to their constant encouragement and invaluable suggestions that  $I$  complete this work.

## Part I. Structure of a C. R. Group

First, we shall give some definitions and some known results about C. R. groups. When homomorphisms or isomorphisms are spoken of we shall always mean  $\Omega$ -homomorphisms or  $\Omega$ -isomorphisms.

*Def. 1.*  $G$  is said to be a C. R. group, if to every subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ , there is another subgroup  $N'$  of  $G$ , such that  $G$  is the direct product of  $N$  and  $N'$ .

Def. 2.  $\mathfrak{S}$  is said to be an irreducible subgroup, if  $\mathfrak{S}$  has no subgroups other than  $e$ , the identity subgroup, and itself.

Def. 3.  $G$  is said to be a direct product of a system of (denumerably or non-denumerably many) irreducible subgroups  $I_1, I_2, \dots$ , if any element  $a$  of  $G$  can be uniquely expressed as  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n$  where  $a_i$  belongs to  $I_i$ .

We denote by  $N = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  the smallest subgroup containing  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , and  $N$  is said to have a finite basis.

Known results about C. R. groups:

- 1). A subgroup  $N$  of a C. R. group  $G$  is itself a C. R. group.
- 2). In a C. R. group  $G$ , the ascending chain condition holds if and only if the descending chain condition holds.
- 3). The ascending chain condition holds in a group  $G$ , if and only if every subgroup  $N$  has a finite basis.

THEOREM 1. If  $G$  is a C. R. group, different from  $e$ , then  $G$  contains an irreducible subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}$ , different from  $e$ .

Proof. Let  $a (\neq e)$  be an element of  $G$ . We shall show that  $(a)$  satisfies the ascending chain condition.

Let  $M_1$  be a subgroup of  $(a)$ . By hypothesis  $G = M_1 \times M'_1$  (direct product). Writing  $a = a_1 \cdot a'_1$ , where  $a_1 \in M_1, a'_1 \in M'_1$ , we have  $(a) \subset (a_1) \cdot (a'_1)$ , and this is a direct product, since  $(a_1) \cap (a'_1) \subset M_1 \cap M'_1 = e$ . From  $a'_1 = a_1^{-1} a$  follows that  $(a'_1) \subset M_1 \times (a) \subset (a)$ . Therefore  $(a) \subset (a_1) \times (a'_1) \subset M_1 \times (a'_1) \subset (a)$ . Hence  $(a) = (a_1) \times (a'_1) = M_1 \times (a'_1)$ , and then  $M_1 = (a_1)$ .

This result, together with 1), 2), 3) shows that  $(a)$  contains irreducible subgroups. This proves the theorem.

THEOREM 2. A C. R. group is a direct product of irreducible subgroups.

Proof. Let  $G (\neq e)$  be a C. R. group. By Theorem 1, there is an irreducible subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}_1 (\neq e)$ . As  $G$  is a C. R. group,  $G = \mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}'_1$ . By 1),  $\mathfrak{S}'_1$  is a C. R. group; if  $\mathfrak{S}'_1 \neq e$ , we again have an irreducible subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}_2$ , then

$$G = \mathfrak{S}_1 \times (\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}'_2) = (\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2) \times \mathfrak{S}'_2$$

By transfinite induction, we arrive at the conclusion that  $G$  can be decomposed into a direct product of irreducible subgroups.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $G$  is a direct product of irreducible subgroups, then  $G$  is a C. R. group.*

**THEOREM 4.** *If two decompositions in which corresponding factors are isomorphic are considered identical, then the decomposition of a C. R. group  $G$  into a direct product of irreducible subgroups is unique.*

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $G$  is a product of irreducible subgroups, then  $G$  is a C. R. group.*

Proofs of Theorems 3, 4, 5 were given by Krull [2] for Abelian groups. The proofs for the general case are essentially the same.

## Part II. Structure of a C. R. Ring

**Def. 4.**  *$R$  is said to be a C. R. l. ring (completely reducible ring for left ideals), if to every left ideal  $l$  of  $R$  there is another left ideal  $l'$  such that  $R$  is a direct sum of  $l$  and  $l'$ .*

Similarly we may define a C. R. r. ring (completely reducible ring for right ideals).

If  $R$  is a C. R. r. ring as well as a C. R. l. ring, we simply call it a C. R. ring.

Consider a C. R. l. ring  $R$  as an additive group with itself as operator domain, we obtain immediately:

**THEOREM 6.** *A C. R. l. ring is a direct sum of minimal left ideals.*

The sum of all nilpotent left ideals, i.e., the left ideals  $l$  for which there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $l^n = 0$ , form a maximal two-sided ideal  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$  [3].  $\bar{R}$  is called the radical.

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $R$  is a C. R. l. ring,  $\bar{R}$  its radical, then  $\bar{R}^2 = 0$ .*

**Proof.** We need only prove that if  $R$  is a C. R. l. ring, then for every nilpotent left ideal  $l$ , we have  $l^2 = 0$ . In fact, if  $a, b, \varepsilon \in \bar{R}$ , then  $a \varepsilon l, b \varepsilon l'$  where  $l, l'$  are nilpotent, therefore  $a, b, \varepsilon l + l'$ . As the sum of nilpotent left ideals is again a nilpotent left ideal,  $a b = 0$  or  $\bar{R}^2 = 0$ .

Now let  $l$  be a nilpotent left ideal, and assume  $l^2 \neq 0$ , then, by 1) of

part I we have  $l=l^2+l'$  (direct sum) from  $ll' \subset l^2, ll' \subset l'$  and  $l^2=l(l^2+l')$  we have  $ll'=0$  and  $l^2=l^3$ , this leads to the contradiction that  $l$  would not be nilpotent.

*Def. 5.*  $R$  is said to be a semi-simple ring if  $R$  is a C. R. l. ring and if the radecel  $\bar{R}=0$ .

*Def. 6.*  $R$  is said to be a simple ring if  $R$  is a C. R. l. ring and has no two sided ideal other than zero ideal and  $R$  itself.

If  $R$  is a simple ring with  $R^2=0$  then  $R$  is an additive group of prime order with the multiplication  $ab=0$  for any  $a, b$  of  $R$ . In the sequel, simple rings are understood to be non-trivial or  $R^2 \neq 0$ .

**THEOREM 8.** *A simple ring is semisimple.*

**Proof.** As usual.

**THEOREM 9.** *A semi-simple ring is a direct sum of simple two-sided ideals.*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be a semi-simple ring. Then by Theorem 4,  $R$  is a direct sum of minimal left ideals. The sum of all  $R$ -isomorphic minimal left ideals form a two sided simple ideal. [3]

**Remarks**

1. We may define a simple ring (in the most general sense) as a ring without two-sided ideals other than zero-ideal and  $R$  itself. Their structure can not be determined in general, unless certain other conditions are imposed. One of them, due to Jacobson, is that  $R$  contains minimal left (or right) ideals [4]. The simple ring in our sense is obviously a simple ring in Jacobson's sense. Conversely, let  $R$  be a simple ring in Jacobson's sense and  $l$  be a minimal left ideal of  $R$ . Then the sum of all left ideals  $R$ -isomorphic to  $l$ , form a two sided ideal ( $\neq 0$ ) of  $R$ , therefore must coincide with  $R$ . By Theorem 5,  $R$  is a C. R. l. ring.

2. A semi-simple ring  $R$  is a C. R. ring. This will be proved if it is proved for simple rings. Let  $R$  be a simple ring. Then  $\bar{R}=0$ . Let  $l$  be a minimal left ideal. As  $\bar{R}=0$ , there is an idempotent element  $e \neq 0$  which belongs to  $l$  and  $l \equiv Re$ , then  $eR$  is minimal right ideal and  $R$  is sum of minimal right ideals, therefore  $R$  is a C. R. r. ring. This establishes

the fact. However, this is not true in general. For example, if we introduce a multiplication  $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, ad)$  into the vector space  $(a, b)$  over the rational field, we obtain a ring, which is a C. R. l. ring but not a C. R. r. ring.

3. In a C. R. l. ring it may be of some interest, to prove the following theorem: Every non-nilpotent left ideal contains an idempotent element  $e \neq 0$ .

Proof. Let  $R$  be a C. R. l. ring, and  $l$  a non-nilpotent left ideal. Then  $l^2 \neq 0$ . So, there is an element  $\mu \in l$  such that  $l\mu \neq 0$ . Let  $l_1$  be the left annihilator of  $\mu$  in  $l$ . Then  $l_1$  is a left ideal properly contained in  $l$ . By hypothesis, and  $l$ )

$$l = l_1 + l'_1$$

Therefore,  $0 \neq l\mu = l'_1\mu \subset l$ . Then  $l = l'_1\mu + l'$ . We have  $\mu = e\mu + \lambda'$ . If  $e=0$  we have  $\mu = \lambda'$ . As  $l'_1 \neq 0$ , there exists  $0 \neq \lambda \in l'_1$ . From  $\lambda\mu = \lambda\lambda'$ ,  $\lambda\mu \in l'_1\mu$ ,  $\lambda\lambda' \in l'$ , we have  $\lambda\mu = \lambda\lambda' = 0$ . But then  $\lambda \in l_1$  or  $\lambda \in l_1 \cap l'_1 = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $e \neq 0$ . Since  $e\mu = e^2\mu + e\lambda'$ , we have  $(e^2 - e)\mu = -e\lambda' \in l'$ . Similar arguments show that  $e^3 - e = 0$  or  $e^2 = e$ .

THEOREM 10; Let  $\bar{R}$  be the radical of a C. R. l. ring  $R$ . Then

i)  $\bar{R}R = 0$

ii) The non-zero left ideals of  $\bar{R}$  have only the form

(a) a cyclic group  $(x)$  of prime order and  $Rx = 0$

(b)  $l = l'x$  where  $l'$  is a minimal non-nilpotent left ideal and  $x \in \bar{R}$ .

Proof. By hypothesis  $R = S + \bar{R}$  (direct sum). As  $\bar{R}$  is two-sided,  $\bar{R}S \subset \bar{R}$ ,  $\bar{R}S \subset S$ . Hence  $\bar{R}S = 0$ . So,  $\bar{R}R = \bar{R}(S + \bar{R}) = 0$ .

Now if  $l$  is a non-zero minimal left ideal of  $\bar{R}$  and  $l \neq 0$ . Let  $x$  be a non-zero element of  $l$ .

Case a)  $Rx = 0$ . Obviously,  $l$  is a cyclic group  $(x)$  of prime order, and  $Rx = 0$ .

Case b)  $Rx \neq 0$ . As  $\bar{R}^2 = 0$ ,  $Rx = (S + \bar{R})x = Sx \neq 0$ . As  $S$  is a direct sum of minimal left ideals of the form  $Re$ , where  $e$  is an idempotent, there exists a certain  $e$  for which  $ex \neq 0$ . So,  $Rex = l'x$  is a minimal left ideal in  $\bar{R}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Jacobson. *The Theory of Rings*. Math. Surveys. **2**. (1943)
- [2] W. Krull. *Zur Theorie der Allgemeinen Zahlringe*. Math. Ann. **99** (1918), 51-70.
- [3] E. Artin and G. Whaples. *The Theory of Simple Rings*. Amer. J. Math. **65**. (1943), 87-107.
- [4] N. Jacobson. *Structure Theory of Simple Rings without Finiteness Assumptions*. Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **57**, (1945), 228-245.

(Received Feb. 2, 1951)